



1



IN THE HONOURABLE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

(C.G.)

M.C.C. NO. 641 OF 2014

Division Bench

(Arising out of Order dated 01-08-2013 passed by Single Bench of

Hon'ble Single Bench in

W.P.(S) No. 7614/2010)

PETITIONER

:

Aeo Lal ,

S/o. Shri Umedram Meshram,

Aged about 47 years,

R/o. Village -Kohaka,Post-Dongarhgoan

,District-Rajannandgaon

(Chhattisgarh)

Handwritten notes:
A. No. 341/14
Presented by Shri. A. Dey
dated 18/11/14

VERSUS

NON-APPLICANTS:


RESPONDENT

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Department of Higher Education , Mahanadi Bhawan, Capital Complex, Mantralaya, P.S. Rakhi, New Raipur (C.G.)
2. Chhattisgarh State Public Service Commission , Through its Secretary , Shankar Nagar , Raipur, (C.G.)

APPLICATION FOR RESTORATION OF W.P.(S) No. 7614/2010
WHICH HAS BEEN TREATED AS DISMISSED FOR NON-
PROSECUTION ON 26-04-2014

The above named petitioner/applicant most humbly begs to submit as under: -

1. That, the applicant/petitioner had filed writ Petition before this Hon'ble Court against action on the part of respondent authorities in not granting the benefits of "Green Card Holder" in respect of age relaxation.



114

(37)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Coram: HON'BLE MR.T.P.SHARMA &
HON'BLE MR.I.S.UBOWEJA, JJ.

M.C.C. No.641 of 2014

Petitioner

Aeo Lal

Versus

Respondents

1. State of Chhattisgarh
2. Chhattisgarh State Public Service Commission

(Application for restoration of W.P.(S) No.7614/2010)

Mr.Vinod Deshmukh, counsel for the petitioner.

Ms Sangeeta Mishra, Panel Lawyer for respondent No.1/State.

None present for respondent No.2.

ORDER

(23-12-2014)

1. By this MCC, the petitioner has prayed for restoration of W.P.(S) No.7614/2010, which was dismissed on the ground of non-compliance of the order dated 1.8.2013 passed by learned Single Judge.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has complied with the order within one week on 8.8.2013 and has filed the paper book, but Registry has further pointed out that application for condonation of delay has not been filed, then he has filed application for condonation of delay on 23.8.2013, but writ petition has been dismissed on the ground of non-compliance.
3. Record reveals that the petitioner has complied with the order within the stipulated time, therefore, the petition would not have dismissed.
4. Consequently, I.A.No.1 for condonation of delay in filing the MCC is allowed. Restoration application is also allowed and W.P.(S) No.7614/10 is restored to its original number. No order as to costs.

130

Sd/-
T.P. Sharma
Judge

Sd/-
Inder Singh Uboweja
Judge