

(4)
(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR CHHATTISGARH

W.P. NO. 3664 /2005

PETITIONER

Sumit Kumar S/o. Mahendra Kumar Gupta,

Aged about 27 years, R/o. Village Kunkuri,
Vikaskhand, Batauli, Tahsil Sitapur, Distt. Surguja
(C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1] State of Chhattisgarh

Through Secretary, Department of
Panchayat, Gramin Vikas Development,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.)

2] The Collector, Ambikapur,
District Surguja (C.G.)

3] The Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue)
Sitapur, District Surguja (C.G.)

4] The Chief Executive Officer,
Janpad Panchayat Batauli,
District Surguja (C.G.)

5] Dharam Prasad, S/o. Harihar Prasad, R/o.
Village Birimkela, Tahsil Sitapur, District
Surguja (C.G.)

6] Rajkumar Sonwami, S/o. Loknath, Presently
working as Sarpanch Gram Panchayat
Birimkela Tahsil Sitapur, District Surguja
(C.G.)

7] Ramadhar S/o. Ram Prasad, Presently
working as Panch of Gram Panchayat
Birimkela Tahsil Sitapur, District Surguja
(C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
02/08/05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR



5

2

81

Kadakram S/o. Govind, Presently working
as Gram Sahayak, Gram Panchayat
Birinkela Tahsil Sitapur, District Surguja
(C.G.)

91

Bodhanram S/o. Gopu, Member of selection
committee of Samvida Shikshak Grade-III,
R/o. Sitapur, District Surguja (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

105

38

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

SB:HON'BLE SHRI NAVIN SINHA, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

Writ Petition No. 3664 of 2005

PETITIONER

Sumit Kumar

Versus

RESPONDENTS

State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION
OF INDIA

Appearance :

Shri Vaibhav Govardhan, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Shri Shashank Thakur, Government Advocate for the State.
Shri Akhilesh Kumar, Advocate for Respondent 4.

ORDER
(24.12.2014)

NAVIN SINHA, ACTING C.J.

सत्यमेव जयते

1. Heard Learned Counsel for the Petitioner and the Respondents.
2. The initial appointment being for one year, 2003-2004 and that period having expired long back, the interim order granted has become infructuous.
3. All other issues including any subsequent developments are left open for consideration at a later stage, if necessary.
4. The Writ Petition is disposed.

Sd/-

Acting Chief Justice