

SINGLE BENCH (1)

C.F. 100/

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 2467/2005. (S.B.)

PETITIONER

✓ : R. B. Nayak, S/o Late Shri Jhapla Nayak, 41-A HSCL Colony, Ruabandha Sector, Po. Bhilai, Dist. Durg (C.G.), [Ex-employee of HSCL Bhilai, P.no.19001, crane operator, CEZ Bhilai]

P. R. No. 2545/05
Presented by Anil Kumar Anand
13/6/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

- ✓ 1) Union of India,
Through : Secretary, Ministry of Iron and Steel, Parliament House, New Delhi.
- ✓ 2) Hindustan Steel Works Construction Ltd. (A Public Sector Enterprise), through its Chairman - Cum - Managing Director, Kolkata.
- ✓ 3) Hindustan Steel Works Construction Ltd. (Local Unit at Bhilai) through General Manager, P. O. Bhilai, Distt. Durg (C.G.)



R/C
Bhilai
ceerle roshni
P.S. Koshi
13.6.05

R/C
Dawikpore
THAKUR VIJAY SINGH
Asst. Solicitor General of India
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT
High Court Campus, Bilaspur (C.G.)
13/6/05

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT OF
CERTIORARI AND MANDAMUS AND ANY OTHER
WRIT / DIRECTION / ORDER.

41

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

SB:HON'BLE SHRI NAVIN SINHA, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

Writ Petition No.2466 of 2005

PETITIONER

P.M. Shukla

Versus

RESPONDENTS

Union of India and others

Writ Petition No.2467 of 2005

PETITIONER

R.B. Nayak

Versus

RESPONDENTS

Union of India and others

Writ Petition No.2468 of 2005

PETITIONER

सत्यमेव जयते

Prayag Choubey

Versus

RESPONDENTS

Union of India and others

Writ Petition No.4730 of 2005

PETITIONER

Sukaloo Ram

Versus

RESPONDENTS

Union of India and others

AndWrit Petition No.4839 of 2005PETITIONER


Niaz Ali

VersusRESPONDENTS

Union of India and others

WRIT PETITIONS UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIAAppearance

Shri Uttam Pandey, Advocate for the Petitioners.
Shri N.K. Vyas, Assistant Solicitor General for Union of India.
Shri Vinod Deshmukh, Advocate for Respondents.


ORDER
(24.12.2014)**NAVIN SINHA, ACTING C.J.**

1. Heard Learned Counsel for the Petitioners and the
Respondents.

सत्यमेव जयते

2. At the outset leave is sought to withdraw the applications.

3. The writ applications are dismissed as withdrawn

Sd/-

Acting Chief Justice