


IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1141 of 2013
In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 11673 of 2012



=====

1. Mohan Tiwari S/O Late Rameshwar Tiwari R/O Village - Etwarpurm,
P.O. Jalalpur, Via - Lalganj, District - Vaishali , Presently Residing At
Mohalla - Srikrishnapuri, Musapur, P.O. + P.S. Samastipur, District -
Samastipur

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through Sri Alok Kumar Singh, A.P.C. - Cum - The
Principal Secretary, Department Of Agriculture, Bihar, Patna
2. Arbind Singh, The Director Department Of Agriculture, Bihar, Patna
3. Sri Kiran Deo Paswan, Joint Director Agriculture (Pat), Bihar, Patna
4. Naini Ashraf The Joint Director Agriculture, Department Of Agriculture,
Darbhanga
5. Sri Dinesh Prasad, The Deputy Director, Agriculture (Pat), Samastipur
6. Sri Rajeshwar Prasad, The Sub - Divisional Agriculture Officer,
Samastipur
7. Navin Chandra Jha, The District Magistrate, Samastipur
8. Sri Santosh Kumar Uttam, The District Agriculture Officer, Samastipur

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Siyaram Pandey
For the State : Mr. Mrigendra Kumar, A.C. to G.A.11
For Accountant General : Mr. Arun Kumar Arun

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH KUMAR
DATTA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-12-2014 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsels for the State and for the Accountant General, Bihar.

The direction in the order under contempt dated
9.7.2012 was for the petitioner to file a detailed representation
before the Principal Secretary, Department of Agriculture,
Government of Bihar, which was to be considered and disposed of
and the amount, if any, payable was to be paid to the petitioner.

In the show cause filed on behalf of the opposite parties of the State, it is stated that the representation of the petitioner has been disposed of by a reasoned order dated 12.12.2012 passed by the Director, Agriculture, Bihar.

In the aforesaid view of the matter, no case for proceeding under the contempt jurisdiction of this Court is made out.

The contempt application is, accordingly, dismissed.

Needless to say that the petitioner can challenge the order of the Director, Agriculture in appropriate proceedings.

(Ramesh Kumar Datta, J)

V.P.Sinha/-

U			
---	--	--	--