

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.40744 of 2014

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -145 Year- 2014 Thana -DURGAWATI District- BHABHUA
(KAIMUR)

=====

Ajai Kumar Maurya @ Karan son of Dhanu Lal Maurya resident of Village-
Fulwariya, Kotwa Road, P.S.- Cantt, District- Varanasi (U.P.)

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rana Pratap Singh, Sr. Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pradip Nr.Kumar(App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR
JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 24-12-2014 Heard Sri Rana Pratap Singh, Sr. Advocate for the

petitioner, learned counsel for the State and learned counsel

appearing on behalf of son of the deceased.

The petitioner Ajai Kumar Maurya @ Karan seeks

bail in Durgawati P.S. Case No. 145 of 2014, registered for the

offences punishable under Sections 302, 201 and 34 of the Indian

Penal Code.

The present case was registered against unknown on

the recovery of the dead body. During course of investigation, the

witnesses disclosed the Registration Number of the car on the

basis of which, the car was recovered near the house of Rohit

Arora situated in Varanasi. Rohit Arora disclosed the name of the

petitioner that he lent the car bearing Registration No. UP65AF

2676.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that only a cheque bearing the signature of the deceased Satya Prakash Singh is said to have been recovered from the house of the petitioner. There is no other evidence to show that the petitioner was involved in killing of Satya Prakash Singh.

On the other hand learned counsel for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

There appears that after recovery of the car from the house of Rohit Arora, the petitioner was apprehended and he confessed his guilt. There is ample material to show that the petitioner and other accused persons conspired among themselves and killed Satya Prakash Singh.

Considering the facts aforesaid, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner, namely, Ajai Kumar Maurya @ Karan on bail. Accordingly, his prayer for bail is rejected.

However, the trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same within one year from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

(Prabhat Kumar Jha, J.)

KKSINHA/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--