

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-44089 of 2013(O&M)  
Date of Decision: 31.12.2013.

Rajdeep Kaur & another

--Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

--Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.**

Present:- Mr. Arun Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Parveen Kumar, Advocate for  
respondents no.4 to 6.

\*\*\*

**TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J**

The instant writ petition was preferred under Section 482 Cr.P.C seeking directions to respondents no.1 to 3 for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners, who had entered into matrimony against the wishes of respondents no.4 to 6, who happen to be the near relations of petitioner no.1.

Upon notice of motion having been issued, Mr. Parveen Kumar, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondents no.4 to 6.

Learned counsel appearing for respondents no.4 to 6 makes a statement that they have no objection to the petitioners cohabiting as husband and wife and undertakes that they will not interfere in their private life. The present petition, accordingly, has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of accordingly.

Liberty, however, is granted to the petitioners to approach respondent no.2 on account of any apprehension that they may entertain with regard to their life and liberty. In case of any representation being preferred, respondent no.2 would be obligated to look into the same, strictly

in accordance with law and if so required to take necessary steps to ensure the life and liberty of the petitioners. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken as a stamp of this Court on the marriage of the parties.

Petition disposed of.

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)**  
**JUDGE**

**December 31, 2013.**

lucky