



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Twentieth day of December Two Thousand Thirteen
PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr. Justice M. SATHYANARAYANAN

CRL OP (MD) No.23017 of 2013

K.AMMASI RAJAN

... PETITIONER/ACCUSED RANK NOT KNOWN

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
ARUPPUKOTTAI TALUK POLICE STATION,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT,
CR.NO.622/2013

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.V.S.A.SAMAYAVASU Advocate

For Respondent : MR.K.V.RAJARAJAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.
ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is the sole accused in Crime No.622 of 2013, on the file of the respondent police, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged commission of offences said to have been committed on 04.11.2013, punishable under Sections 454 and 380 I.P.C., seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner is said to have committed theft of two Kalasams on the temple tower of Arulmigu Padmanabhasamy Thirukoil at Palayampatti.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is seeking his livelihood as a farmer and he has been falsely implicated in this case and he is nothing to do with the alleged commission of offence.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that the stolen articles were recovered and the petitioner is not having any bad antecedent.

5. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the
perused the entire materials available on record.



6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of his arrest or on his appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Aruppukottai, Virudhunagar District and on executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that the petitioner shall appear before the respondent police daily at 11.00 a.m., for a period of two weeks, thereafter as and when required for interrogation. The petitioner shall comply with the conditions stipulated under Section 438 Cr.P.C. scrupulously.

7. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy made ready failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail would stand dismissed.

sd/-
20/12/2013

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
ARUPPUKOTTAI, VIRUDHUNAGAR DT.
- 2 DO-THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT AT SRIVILLIPUTHUR.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ARUPPUKOTTAI TALUK POLICE STATION,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.R.ARAVIND RAJ Advocate SR.No.64351
ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.23017 of 2013
Date :20/12/2013