



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Nineteenth day of December Two Thousand Thirteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr. Justice M. SATHYANARAYANAN

CRL OP(MD) No.22856 of 2013

G. ANANDA PANDIAN

... PETITIONER/ ACCUSED RANK NOT KNOWN

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE

D2-SELLUR (L &O) POLICE STATION, MADURAI,

CRIME NO.1019/2013

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.S.THAMIZHARASAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR.P.KANDASAMY, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec. 439 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

There are two named accused and ten unnamed accused and the petitioner is arrayed as A-4 and he was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 09.10.2013 for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 341, 147, 148 and 307 of I.P.C @ 341, 147, 148 and 302 of I.P.C in Crime No.1019 of 2013 on the file of the respondent police and hence, seeks bail. The alleged occurrence is said to have been taken place on 06.10.2013.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there is existing previous enmity between the deceased and A-1. Consequently, A-1 and A-2 inflicted stab injury on the deceased and the petitioner is said to have caught hold the deceased.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is studying in second year B.A., Economics in American College, Madurai. He would further submit that even as per the F.I.R., the petitioner is not a named accused and even as per the version of the prosecution, the petitioner has only caught hold the deceased and he has not been attributed with any fatal overt act.

4. The learned Government Advocate(Crl. Side) would submit that the petitioner is said to have caught hold the deceased and thereby prevented him from fleeing away from the scene of occurrence and the petitioner is not having any bad antecedents.

5. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the State and also perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail on the following conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned



Judicial Magistrate No.II, Madurai, and on further condition that the petitioner shall appear before the respondent police daily at 5.00 p.m., until further orders.

sd/-
19/12/2013

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

WEB COPY

TO

1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II,MADURAI.

2. DO THRO THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, MADURAI.

3.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

4.THE SUPERINTENDENT CENTRAL PRISON, MADURAI.

5.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
D2-SELLUR (L &O) POLICE STATION, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.S.THAMIZHARASAN Advocate SR.No.63408

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.22856 of 2013
Date :19/12/2013

rg.19.12.2013

2p.7c.