

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

FRIDAY, THE 20TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2013/29TH AGRAHAYANA, 1935

WP(C).No. 30449 of 2013 (E)

PETITIONER(S):

K. SIVADASAN
S/O.SAROJINI, KUNIPARAMBATH HOUSE
P.O.KOTTAYAM MALABAR, KUTHUPARAMBA, KANNUR DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.O.D.SIVADAS

RESPONDENT(S):

THE SECRETARY, REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY
KANNUR.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.M.MUHAMMED SHAFI

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 20-12-2013, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 30449 of 2013 (E)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

EXT.P1 - TRUE COPY OF THE PERMIT DATED 16.2.13

EXT.P2 - TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION WITH CHALLAN DATED 29-11-2013

EXT.P3 - TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION WITH CHALLAN.

//true copy//

P.A.To Judge

K.VINOD CHANDRAN, J

W.P.(C).No. 30449 of 2013

Dated 20th December, 2013

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is aggrieved by the non consideration of the application for replacement of vehicle sought in a regular permit granted to him and in which he had sought for a clearance certificate for the earlier vehicle endorsed in the permit.

2. The learned Government Pleader, on instructions, submits that since after obtaining clearance certificate petitioner did not produce the vehicle within the stipulated time, the application was rejected on 29.11.2013. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not yet received the order.

3. Hence, there shall be a direction to the respondent to communicate the order said to have been passed in the replacement application, to the petitioner.

The writ petition is closed without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to challenge the order.

Sd/-

**K.VINOD CHANDRAN,
Judge**

Mrcs