

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

FRIDAY, THE 20TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2013/29TH AGRAHAYANA, 1935

WP(C).No. 25732 of 2012 (N)

PETITIONER(S):

- 1. GOPALAN, AGED 28 YEARS,
S/O KUNJUNNI, MARAYANGATT VALAPPIL,
KIZHIKKARA (DRIVER OF JCB BEARING REGISTRATION NO.KL-48 D-6576)**
- 2. JEEJA V.V., AGED 43 YEARS
W/O RAJAN, VALLIKKATTIRY HOUSE, PERUMPILAV P.O.
THALAPPILLY TALUK
THRISSUR. (OWNER OF JCB BEARING
REGISTRATION NO. KL-48-D-6576)**

BY ADV. SRI.P.M.ZIRAJ

RESPONDENT:

**THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CHANGARAMKULAM POLICE STATION,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT, PIN:676 505.**

R1 BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.M.J.RAJASREE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 20-12-2013,
ALONG WITH W.P.(C).NO.25742/2012 AND CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT
ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

AS

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) EXHIBITS:

- EXHIBIT P1: TRUE COPY OF THE SEIZURE MAHAZAR DATED 30.10.2012
PREPARED BY THE RESPONDENT.
- EXHIBIT P2: TRUE COPY OF THE WRITTEN REQUEST SUBMITTED BY THE
FIRST PETITIONER DATED 30.10.2012 BEFORE THE
RESPONDENT.
- EXHIBIT P3: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 15.10.2012 IN WP(C).
NO.24218 OF 2012 ON THE FILE OF THIS HONOURABLE COURT.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS: NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A.TO JUDGE

AS

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, J.

.....
**W.P.(C)No. 25732, 25742,
26029, 27134, 27467,
27468, 28510, 28582,
29464 & 29572 OF 2012.**
.....

Dated this the 20th December, 2013

J U D G M E N T

The power and authority of the concerned respondents (Police/Revenue/Mining and Geology Department) to effect seizure of the vehicles engaged in raising/transporting 'ordinary earth' in contravention of the provisions of the Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) act, 1957/ Kerala Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 1967 (hereinafter referred to as 'MMDR Act/KMMC Rules') is under challenge in these writ petitions. The issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this Court as per common judgment dated 19.12.2013 in W.P.(C).No. 12398 of 2013 and connected cases and it stands against the petitioners. In the said circumstance, interference is declined and the writ petitions are dismissed accordingly.

2. It is made clear that, the dismissal of these writ petitions will not stand in the way of the petitioners in seeking for compounding the offence in view of the enabling provisions under

**W.P.(C)No. 25732, 25742, 26029, 27134,
27467, 27468, 28510, 28582, 29464 &
29572 OF 2012.**

2

the MMDR Act, 1957 and the KMMC Rules, 1967.

3. Coming to the extent of amount to be satisfied as compounding fee, the Rules specifically stipulate that any offence under the Rules can be compounded subject to the satisfaction of the maximum fine prescribed under the Rules, which is stated as Rs. 5,000/-. But in respect of the transportation of sand/earth without any valid pass/sanction, it is stated as an offence under the 'Act' itself by virtue of the incorporation of Section 4(1A), for which separate penalty is provided under the 'Act' itself. The maximum fine in respect of such offence prescribed under the 'Act' is stated as Rs. **25,000/-**-(Rupees twenty five thousand only). It is in the said circumstance, that this Court has been passing orders enabling the concerned petitioners to have interim custody of the vehicle on satisfaction of a sum of Rs. **25,000/-**-(Rupees twenty five thousand only), also directing the concerned respondent to consider the application for compounding, if any.

4. If the petitioners are desirous to have the offence compounded, conceding the guilt, it is for them to approach the

**W.P.(C)No. 25732, 25742, 26029, 27134,
27467, 27468, 28510, 28582, 29464 &
29572 OF 2012.**

3

concerned respondent/authorised officer by filing necessary application in this regard and once the offence is compounded, no further prosecution proceedings shall lie against them.

**P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON
JUDGE**

/k