

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION****CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.363 OF 2013
IN
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.937 OF 2013
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.364 OF 2013
IN
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.938 OF 2013**

Arun K. Tiwari ...Applicant
v/s
M/s Kamino Int'l Logistics Pvt.
Ltd. And anr. ...Respondents

Mr Ramprakash Pandey for Applicant.
Mrs G.P. Mulekar, APP for Respondent-State.

CORAM : K.U. CHANDIWAL J.

DATED : 24TH DECEMBER 2013.

P.C. :

1. Mr Ramprakash Pandey appears for applicant. He says, these two writ petitions were inadvertently not tagged to group of writ petition No.934 of 2013 and others though the matters are arising out of the same situation. He did not remain present on 1st August 2013 when the matter was called by this Court. Considering the reasons,

applications for restoration is allowed, the order dated 1st August 2013 is recalled.

2. Since identical orders are passed on 6th August 2013 in writ petition No.934 of 2013 and others between the same parties, the learned counsel does not press writ petition Nos.937 and 938 of 2013. They are accordingly allowed to be withdrawn.

3. In the light of earlier directions dated 6th august 2013 in writ petition No.934 of 2013, if the accused/applicant makes exemption applications without challenging their identity, the learned Judge seized with the matter to exempt them, if personal attendance is not required. This will be in terms of sections 205 and 317 of Cr.P.C. Writ petitions are accordingly disposed off.

(K.U. CHANDIWAL J.)