

ssp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.3022 OF 2013

Satish Ramchandra Bhojne ...Petitioner
vs.
State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Ms Sunita Dabhadkar Advocate appointed for the
petitioner
Ms M.H.Mhatre, APP for the State

CORAM : A.S.OKA, &
S.C.GUPTA, JJ.
DATE : DECEMBER 24,2013

P.C.:

1 Heard the learned counsel appointed to espouse the cause of the petitioner and the learned APP for State. On the ground of sickness of the petitioner's wife, on 20th December 2012, parole was granted to the petitioner for a period of 30 days. The said period of parole was extended for total 60 days. Thus, the petitioner has already availed of parole for 90 days. Period of 90 days expired on 21st March 2013. However, the petitioner returned late by 22 days. Prayer in this application is for grant of further extension of parole by 22 days.

2 In view of Rule 25 of the Prisons (Bombay Furlough and Parole) Rules 1959, total period of parole cannot exceed 90 days. Hence, the prayer made in this petition cannot be granted.

3 However, this order will not preclude the
petitioner from making a fresh application for grant
of parole.

4 Subject to what is observed above, writ
petition is rejected.

5 A copy of this order shall be forwarded to the
petitioner through the concerned Jail
Superintendent.

(S.C.GUPTE,J.)

(A.S.OKA,J.)