

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO. 3419 OF 2013

Sou.Vaishali Sandip Badgujar @ Vaishali
Rajaram Chavan.

... Petitioner.

V/s.

Chief Executive Officer and others.

... Respondents.

D.PAdsule for the petitioner.

Ms.Poonam Kumari i/b. Mrs.Anamika Malhotra
for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Prashant Darandale, AGP for respondent No.3.

**CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI AND
MRS.REVATI MOHITE DERE, JJ.**

DATED : 24th December 2013.

PC.

The petitioner is aggrieved by the order of suspension. We do not find any reason to interfere with the order of suspension or the departmental inquiry/ disciplinary proceedings. In the matters of suspension, this Court is reluctant to interfere simply because the petitioner has sufficient remedies in law to question merits of the charges in the proposed inquiry. If it is pending prosecution even then there are adequate remedies in law. For the present, we do not find that suspension is vitiated by any malafides or an error of law apparent on the face of

record requiring interference of this Court in its writ jurisdiction, more so when the petitioner is entitled to such allowances as are permissible under the Rules.

2. Writ petition is devoid of any merits and is dismissed as such.

(REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI , J.)