

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

f

WRIT PETITION NO. 10260 OF 2013

INDUBAI MURHARI TUPSAMINDER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr.Gaikwad Amol R.
AGP for Respondents:Mr. S.K.Tambe
Advocate for Respondent nos.3, 4 and 5 :Mrs. Preeti Diggikar

...

CORAM : R.M. BORDE & A. I. S. CHEEMA, JJ.
Dated: December 21, 2013

...

PER COURT :-

1. The petitioner is challenging the selection of respondents for appointment to the post of Anganwadi Supervisors.

2. The petitioner in the petition is raising questions in respect of assessment of the papers of written examination. In our view, the questions raised in the petition need not be gone into in exercise of extraordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

The writ petition is devoid of substance and stands rejected.

(A. I. S. CHEEMA, J.)

(R.M. BORDE, J.)

...

AGP/-