

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.
APPELLATE SIDE JURISDICTION

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearance, Court's orders or directions and Registrars orders.	Court's or Judge's orders.
--	----------------------------

CONT. PETITION NO. 579 OF 2013
IN WP/6894/2012

RAMJI DHANAJI PATIL AND OTHERS

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND AOTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Bhumkar R.P.

AGP for Respondent/ State: Mr. K. J. Ghute Patil.

Advocate for Respondent No.2: Mr. Maheshkumar S. Sonavane.

CORAM: SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.

DATED: 21st DECEMBER, 2013.

PER COURT:

1. The contempt petition has been moved seeking to impute contempt of order dated 21st February, 2013 of this High Court in Writ Petition No. 6894 of 2012. Under the order, Division Bench had directed Respondent No.2 - Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Jalgaon to take decision on

representations of petitioners dated 9th February, 2012, 21st February, 2012 and 5th March, 2012 preferably within a period of four months from the date of the order.

2. It is sought to be contended that the period stipulated has not been kept up and a decision has not been rendered within the prescribed period and that constitutes the contempt.

3. Learned counsel appearing for Respondent No.3, tenders an order dated 22nd October, 2013 issued by Respondent No.2 under which the grievance of the contempt petitioner is stated to have been taken care of. Copy of the order is taken on record and marked Exhibit-X for identification. Learned counsel for contempt petitioner states that appears to be the position.

4. Learned counsel for the Respondents conveys unconditional apologies of Respondent No.2 for delay. The apologies are accepted.

5. In view of aforesaid, there is no case made out for initiation of contempt proceedings against the Respondents. As such the contempt petition stands rejected and disposed of.

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]

Dt.21/12/2013

ans/579