



2

Single Bench

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR.

Contempt Case (C) No. 582 Of 2013

PETITIONERS
Petitioners

:1) Khagpati Pawar, S/o. Late Budhram Pawar, aged about 45 years, Occupation Service on Collector Rate (Chowkidar) presently posted in the Women Industrial Training Institute Narayanpur, Post and Police Station Narayanpur, Revenue and Civil District Narayanpur (C.G.) PIN 494661

582/13
U.R. Koushik
19/12/13
Presented by
A. Singh

:2) Chamar Singh Pradhan, S/o. late Sukuram Pradhan, aged about 46 years, Occupation Service on Collector Rate (Peon) presently posted in the Women Industrial Training Institute, Narayanpur, Post and Police Station Narayanpur, Revenue and Civil District Narayanpur (C.G.) PIN 494661

Versus

CONTEMNOR
Respondent

: Shri Santosh Mishra, Joint Director, Industrial Training Institute, Bairon Bazar Post Bairon Bazar, Police Station Civil Line, Raipur (C.G.)

CONTEMPT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 215 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA READ WITH SECTION 12 OF
THE CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT FOR INITIATING OF
CONTEMPT PROCEEDINGS AGAINST THE CONTEMNOR/
RESPONDENT FOR WILFUL DISOBEDIENCE OF THE
ORDER DATED 15-07-2013 PASSED BY THE HON'BLE
HIGH COURT IN W.P.(S) No.1952 of 2013 (ANNEXURE C-1).



20

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Contempt Case (C) No.582 of 2013

Petitioners

1. Khagpati Pawar
2. Chamar Singh Pradhan

Versus

Respondent

Shri Santosh Mishra

(Contempt Petition under Article 215 of the Constitution of India read with
Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act)

Mr.S.P.Sahu, counsel for the petitioners.

(SB: Hon'ble Mr. T.P. Sharma, J.)

ORDER

(1-1-2014)

1. By this contempt case the petitioners have prayed for initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent for willful disobedience of the order dated 15.7.2013 passed in W.P. (S) No.1952 of 2013.
2. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners, perused the petition and documents appended thereto.
3. In absence of any material to show the inaction of the respondent, the contempt case is dismissed summarily reserving liberty to the petitioners to file afresh if cause survives along with material showing the inaction of the respondent, especially in the era of Right to Information Act.

Sd/-
T. P. Sharma
Judge