

7 ①

CA 600/-

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT

BILASPUR (C.G.)

Writ Petition (S) No. 4196 of 2013

Single Bench

PETITIONERS : 1.

Narendra Prasad Rathore son of Shri Ramlal Rathore, aged about 60 ears, resident of Village 7 Post-Portha, Police Station-Sakti, District-Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

J.N.No. WPS 4196/13
Presented by Shri C. Mahur.
dated 10/12/13

2. Ramsai Ijardar son of Ghurau Ijardar, aged about 61½ years, resident of Sakti, Police Station-Sakti, District-Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

3. Puniram Yadav son of Seukram Yadav, aged about 52 years, resident of Village & Post-Ragja, Police Station-Sakti, District-Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

4. Laxmi Prasad Sahu son of late Shri Gesram Sahu, aged about 52 years, resident of Village and Post-Ragja, Police Station-Sakti, District-Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

5. Sakhiram Yadav son of late Shri Banshiram Yadav, aged about 59 years, resident of Village and Post-Ragja, Police Station-Sakti, District-Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

6. Maharathi Yadav son of Shri Bisahuram Yadav, aged about 66 years, resident of Village and Post-



Barber

Jajang, Police Station-Sakti, District-
Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh :

Through the Secretary, Department of
Higher Education, Mahanadi Bhawan,
Mantralaya, New Raipur (C.G.)

2. The Director, Higher Education,
Raipur (C.G.)

3. The Principal, Jawaharlal Nehru
Degree College, Sakti, District-Janjgir-
Champa (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA



109

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE SHRI PRASHANT KUMAR MISHRA, J.

W.P. (S) No. 4196 of 2013

PETITIONERS

Narendra Prasad Rathore and others.

Versus

RESPONDENTS

State of Chhattisgarh and others.

Shri B.P. Singh, counsel for petitioners.

Shri Rakesh Jha, Govt. Advocate for the State, on advance copy.

ORAL ORDER

(01/01/2014)

Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the subject matter of the writ petition is squarely covered by judgment passed by this Court in the matter of *Narayan Shrivastava and others Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others* in W.P. No. 56 of 2000 decided on 12th April, 2007. He would submit that the present writ petition is disposed of in the same terms.

2. Learned State counsel would submit that the petitioners may submit a representation and the competent authority shall examine the issue and if their case is similar to *Narayan Shrivastava and others Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others* (supra), and the said order is still intact, their case may be considered in accordance with law.

3. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction that in the event petitioners prefers a representation before the competent authority seeking relief on the ground of parity with the case of *Narayan Shrivastava and others Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others* (supra) within a period of one month from today, the competent authority shall examine the matter and decided the representation by speaking order as early as possible and preferably within a period of three months from the date of submission of representation in the event the competent authority shall decide the representation against the petitioners they would be at liberty to move afresh before this Court.

Sd/-
Prashant Kumar Mishra
Judge

K