

①

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 4407 OF 2013 Single Bench

PETITIONER


Kamta Nath Chaudhary S/o. Shri
Shyamji Lal Chaudhary, aged about
43 years, R/o. Villge Kodatarai, P.S.
Bhupdevpur, District Raigarh, Civil
and Revenue District Raigarh (CG)

Versus

R. No. 1107/13
Presented by Shri A. S. Singh
dated 19/12/13

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through the
Secretary, Scheduled Caste and
Scheduled Tribe Development,
Mantralaya, Police Station Rakhi,
Tahsil Aarang, Naya Raipur, District
Raipur (CG)
2. Commissioner, Department of
Scheduled Caste and Scheduled
Tribe, P.S. Raipur, District Raipur
(CG)
3. Assistant Commissioner, Tribal
Welfare Department, Raigarh, P.S.
Raigarh, District Raigarh (CG)
4. Block Education Officer, Block
Gharghoda, P.S. Gharghoda, District
Raigarh (CG)



**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA**

22



XI HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक

WP(S) 4407/13

सन् 20

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	SB : Hon'ble Shri Prashant Kumar Mishra, J. 1-1-2014 Heard Ms. Indira Tripathi, Advocate for the petitioner and Shri Rakesh Jha, Govt. Advocate for the State. At the very outset, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner does not press any other relief(s) and confine his prayer to the extent that the respondents may be directed to scrutinize the case of the petitioner in accordance with the instructions issued vide memo dated 7-3-2012. Learned counsel appearing for the State would submit that the case of the petitioner will be scrutinized in accordance with the prevailing memo dated 7-3-2012 and if he is found fit, appropriate order thereof for his continuation of service will be passed in accordance with law. In view of the above submission made by the learned State counsel, according to the learned counsel for the petitioner, nothing survives for adjudication in this writ petition. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of.	

Sd/-
Prashant Kumar Mishra
Judge