

4

IN THE M.P. STATE ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
BENCH RAIPUR M.P.

WP/285/10

O.A.No. 266 /99

APPLICANTS/
PETITIONERS

- : 1. ARUN KUMAR GAUTAM aged 42 years son of Pratap Singh Chhatriya.
2. Rashik Kishore Dansena aged 51 years son of Ramlal Dansena (Kalar).
3. Basant Kumar Gautam aged 42 years son of Shiv Raj Singh Chhatriya. α
4. Suresh Kumar Nishad aged 24 years son of Uderam Nishad.
5. Dayaram Uraon aged 44 years son of Peela Babu.
6. Jagdish Prasad Tiwari aged 48 years son of Hemlal Tiwari.
7. Dev Narayan Sahu aged 45 years son of Ishwar Prasad Teli. α
8. Rameshwar Nishad aged 52 years son of Amar Singh Nishad

No. 1 to 8 All working in Water Resources Deptt. Irrigation Sub Division Baramkela, Division Raigarh Tahsil Sarangarh, Distt. Raigarh M.P.

9. Peetamber Mishra aged 43 years son of Late Ram Hari Mishra.
10. Premanand Pant aged 51 years son of Sitaram Pant.
11. Heera Das Manikpuri aged 55 years son of Kanu Das Manikpuri α
12. Shree Ram Patel aged 45 years son of Anant Ram Patel.

No. 9 to 12 all working in Water Resources Deptt. Irrigation Sub-Division Mand Division Raigarh, Tahsil Sarangarh, Distt. Raigarh M.P.

*Advocate
Counsel for Applicants*

V E R S U S

5

NON APPLICANTS
RESPONDENTS

- : 1. STATE OF M.P.
Through Secretary, Govt.
of M.P. Water Resources
Deptt. (Irrigation)
Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal M.P.
2. Engineer - in - Chief,
Irrigation Deptt. Shivaji
Nagar, Bhopal M.P.
3. Chief Engineer, Irrigation
Deptt., Bilaspur M.P.
4. Superintending Engineer
Irrigation Deptt. Raigarh
M.P.
5. Executive Engineer, Water
Resources Deptt. Raigarh
M.P.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 19 OF THE
ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL ACT 1985.



79

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक

WPS 2851/05

सन् 20

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
Sahu	<u>S.B. Hon'ble Shri Justice N.K. Agarwal</u> <u>01.01.2014</u> Shri MK Bhaduri and Shri Kundan Singh Thakur, Advocates for the petitioners. Shri Ajit Singh, Panel Lawyer for the State. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that this petition may be disposed of directing the competent authority (i.e. State of CG) to consider the petitioner's representation. Prayer is not opposed and is allowed accordingly. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. However, if the petitioners file representation within a period of one month from today, the same shall be decided by the respondent authorities on its own merits as early as possible preferably within a period of six months from the date of receipt of copy of this order. No order asto costs.	Sd/- N.K. Agrawal Judge