HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
LUCKNOW

CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No. - 1049 of 2026

Manish Kumar Mishra

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Home Lko. And Another

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Dinesh Kr. Chaudhary
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 11

HON'BLE RAJEEV SINGH, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned A.G.A. for the State.

2.The instant application has been filed seeking release of the applicant on anticipatory bail in Case Crime No. 05 of 2026, under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3) B.N.S and 66-D Information Technology Act, registered at Police Station- Cyber Crime, District- Balrampur.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case and is a student. He further submitted that other co-accused persons have already been enlarged on bail. He further submitted that the applicant is also ready to cooperate in the investigation and, therefore, he is entitled to be released on anticipatory bail.

4. Learned A.G.A has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail and submitted that as the offence in question pertains to cyber crime and that the custodial interrogation of the applicant is necessary to work out the entire chain of case. It is further submitted that the other co-accused persons were enlarged on bail by the competent court by filing a regular bail application. It is also contended that the applicant is not cooperating with the investigation and, therefore, he is not entitled to be granted anticipatory bail.

5. Considering the submissions of learned counsel for the applicant, learned A.G.A. and going through the contents of application, F.I.R. as well as the material available on record, that fact that the co-accused persons have been enlarged on bail by filing regular bail applications before the competent court; as it cannot be said that at this stage, the custodial interrogation is not necessary for proper and effective investigation of the case, this Court is of the view that the applicant is not entitled to be granted anticipatory bail.

6. Accordingly, the bail application is hereby **rejected.**

(Rajeev Singh,J.)

June 8, 2026
Preeti

Digitally signed by :-
PREETI GAUTAM
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad,
Lucknow Bench