2026:AHC-LKO:39820

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
LUCKNOW

MATTERS UNDER ARTICLE 227 No. - 3220 of 2026

Bharat Lal

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Secy. Revenue, Lko. And 6 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Satya Prakash Mishra
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Mohan Singh

Court No. - 19

HON'BLE SYED QAMAR HASAN RIZVI, J.

1. Heard Sri Satya Prakash Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioners, Sri Atul Kumar, learned Standing Counsel representing the opp. party-State, Sri Govind Kumar Chaurasia, Advocate, holding brief of Sri Mohan Singh, learned counsel for the Gaon Sabha/opp. Party No. 7 and perused the record.

2. In view of the proposed order being passed, notice to the opposite party Nos. 3 to 6 is hereby dispensed with.

3. The instant writ petition has been filed, *inter alia*, for the following relief:

> *"Direct the Opposite Party No.2 to decide the appeal of appeal no. 820 /2024 , Computerized case no. C202404000000820, , under sec.24(4) of U.P. Revenue Code 2006 Manvendra Kumar And Others Vs. Ramji & others pending before the Opposite Party No.2 within time stipulated by this Hon'ble Court."*

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the aforesaid appeal is pending since 2024 and till date the same has not been decided.

5. Rule 183 (4) of the U.P. Revenue Code Rules, 2016 provides as under:

> " The appellate or the revisional court shall, endeavour to finally decide the appeal or revision, as the case may be, within

a period of six months from the date of filing the appeal or revision and if the appeal or revision is not decided within the aforesaid period, the reason for the same shall be recorded."

6. There is a clear legislative mandate that if proceedings are not concluded within stipulated period of time as provided under the aforesaid provision, reasons for the same shall be recorded.

7. Learned Standing Counsel submits that he has no objection if a direction is issued by this Court for expeditious disposal of the case within stipulated period as to be fixed by this Court.

8. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in keeping this writ petition pending.

9. Accordingly, without adverting on merits of the case and with the consent of the parties, this Court deems it appropriate to finally dispose of the present writ petition with a direction to the Additional Commissioner (Judicial), Ayodhya Zone, Ayodhya (respondent No. 2), before whom the aforementioned matter is pending for disposal, to decide the appeal no. 820 /2024 , Computerized case no. C202404000000820, , under sec.24(4) of U.P. Revenue Code 2006 Manvendra Kumar And Others Vs. Ramji & others, strictly in accordance with law, by a reasoned order on merit after affording opportunity of hearing to the parties concerned, expeditiously and preferably within a period of six months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, unless there is any legal impediment. It is further directed that no unnecessary adjournments will be granted to either of the parties and any adjournment, if prayed for, may be granted only in exceptional circumstances.

10. With the aforesaid direction, the present writ petition is **disposed of**.

(Syed Qamar Hasan Rizvi,J.)

May 29, 2026
A.Nigam

Digitally signed by :-
ANUJ NIGAM
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad,
Lucknow Bench