2026:AHC-LKO:41937-DB

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
LUCKNOW

WRIT - C No. - 6539 of 2026

Ajit Kumar And 16 Others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Housing And Urban Planning Lko. And 6 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s) : Amit Kumar Singh, Ravi Kant Singh
Counsel for Respondent(s) : C.S.C., Ratnesh Chandra

Court No. - 2

HON'BLE PANKAJ BHATIA, J.
HON'BLE AMITABH KUMAR RAI, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, Shri Ratnesh Chandra, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 2 and 3- Lucknow Development Authority, and learned Standing Counsel representing the State-respondent Nos. 1, 4, 5, and 6.

2. The present petition has been filed challenging the notification dated 05.06.2026 issued under Section 11 of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 (hereinafter referred to as the **"Act, 2013"**).

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners argued that although the notification has been issued under Section 11 of the Act, 2013, the respondent authorities are threatening to demolish the construction in case consent is not given. However, there is no factual basis for the said assertion and no material could be shown for quashing the notification dated 05.06.2026.

4. The writ petition stands **dismissed**, leaving it open to the petitioners to raise such objections as are permissible under law.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents states that the proceedings for demolition can only be initiated in accordance with law, for which no disclosure has been made in the writ petition. Accepting the said contention, it is provided that demolition, if any, shall take place strictly in accordance with law.

(Amitabh Kumar Rai,J.) (Pankaj Bhatia,J.)

June 24, 2026
Shubhankar

Digitally signed by :-
SHUBHANKAR THAKUR
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad,
Lucknow Bench