HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
LUCKNOW

WRIT - C No. - 6291 of 2026

Smt. Anamika

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Food And Civil Supplies, Lko. And Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Satish Kumar Sharma
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Mohan Singh

Court No. - 3

HON'BLE KARUNESH SINGH PAWAR, J.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Standing Counsel for the State and Sri Mohan Singh, learned counsel for the Gaon Sabha.

Learned Standing Counsel for the State, while drawing the attention of the Court to Annexure No. 1, submitted that the petitioner's claim for allotment of a fair price shop in place of her deceased father-in-law has been rejected on the ground that her husband is employed in the U.P. Police and, therefore, she cannot be considered to be fully dependent upon her deceased father-in-law, which is a requirement under the relevant Government Orders contained in Annexure No. 4 to the writ petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for, and is granted, three weeks' time to file a supplementary affidavit bringing on record the relevant documents to establish that the petitioner was fully dependent upon her deceased father-in-law and was not fully dependent upon her husband.

List on 14.07.2026 as fresh.

June 9, 2026
Vinay/-

(Karunesh Singh Pawar,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
VINAY KUMAR
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad,
Lucknow Bench