HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
LUCKNOW

WRIT - C No. - 6237 of 2026

Shiv Murti Mishra

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Revenue Lko. And 4 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Sheo Prakash Singh, Prerana Yadav
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Vinod Kumar Singh

Court No. - 3

HON'BLE KARUNESH SINGH PAWAR, J.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Standing Counsel for the State-respondents and Sri Vinod Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 4 and 5.

By this petition, petitioner has prayed for the following main reliefs:-

> *"i. Issue a writ in the nature of certiorari thereby quashing the impugned demand notice dated 25.02.2025 of amounting Rs. 3,78,397 issued by opposite party No. 4 against the petitioner, contained as Annexure No. 1 to this writ petition.*
>
> *ii. Issue a writ in the nature of mandamus, commanding and directing the opposite party No. 5 to provide the statement of the account not to not harass the petitioner in pursuance of the demand notice and also to not initiate any auction proceedings against the petitioner in respect of his agricultural land.*
>
> *Lansion Issue a writ in the nature of mandamus, commanding and directing the opposite party No. 4 and 5 to not compel to deposit the entire amount before him and matter be sent to the Lok Adalat for final settlement, since the petitioner is ready to deposit the reasonable loan amount before the opposite party No. 5 in easy installments as directed by this Hon'ble Court or Lok Adalat."*

After arguing at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has already given a representation to the respondent No. 5 which is on record as annexure No. 3 which may be directed to be decided expeditiously.

Learned counsel for the respondents though opposed the petition, however, has no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of petitioner.

In view of above, with the consent of the parties, the petitioner is permitted to submit a fresh representation before respondent no. 5 within a period of ten days from today. In case such a representation is submitted, the same shall be considered and decided by respondent no. 5 within a further period of four weeks, by means of a reasoned and speaking order, in accordance with law.

In the interest of justice, it is provided that till disposal of the representation the recovery proposed to be made pursuant to the impugned auction notice(s) contained in Annexure No.1 shall remain stayed.

With the aforesaid observations, the present petition stands **disposed of.**

June 8, 2026
Vinay/-

(Karunesh Singh Pawar,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
VINAY KUMAR
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad,
Lucknow Bench