2026:AHC-LKO:40092

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
LUCKNOW

WRIT - C No. - 5888 of 2026

Mahendra Pal And 7 Others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Prin. Secy. Deptt. Of Revenue, Lko And 5 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Bhagwati Prasad Nigam, Anurag Shukla
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Dilip Kumar Pandey

Court No. - 5

HON'BLE SUDHANSHU CHAUHAN, J.

1. At the outset, learned standing counsel for the State-respondents has raised a preliminary objection that a revision lies under Section 210 of the U.P. Revenue Code, 2006 against the judgment and order dated 23.03.2026 passed under Section 66 of the U.P. Revenue Code, 2006.

2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the proceedings were initiated beyond the period of three years from the date of allotment of patta and as such the same were not maintainable in view of Section 66(2) of the U.P. Revenue Code, 2006.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has expressed a very strong apprehension that the petitioners might be evicted if they are relegated to the revenue authorities to avail the remedy of revision.

4. Be that as it may, the writ petition is not maintainable in view of the fact that the petitioners have a statutory alternative remedy of filing a revision under Section 210 of the U.P. Revenue Code, 2006. Hence, the writ petition is dismissed on the ground of alternative statutory remedy.

5. However, it is made clear that if the petitioners file a revision under Section 210 of the U.P. Revenue Code, 2006 within 15 days from today, the same shall not be dismissed on the ground of limitation and the revisional authority shall decide it on the merits of the controversy.

6. Office is directed to return the certified copy of the impugned order to the learned counsel for the petitioners.

(Sudhanshu Chauhan,J.)

June 3, 2026
Arif

Digitally signed by :-
MOHAMMAD ARIF
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad,
Lucknow Bench