2026:AHC-LKO:40221-DB

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
LUCKNOW

WRIT - C No. - 3392 of 2026

Ram Dhani

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State O U.P. Thru. Its Addl. Chief/ Prin. Secy.
Deptt. Of Revenue Lko. And 5 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Brijesh Kumar Yadav
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Mohan Singh

Court No. - 3

HON'BLE JASPREET SINGH, J.
HON'BLE INDRAJEET SHUKLA, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. Notice on behalf of the State respondents has been accepted by the office of Chief Standing Counsel.

3. By means of instant petition the petitioner seeks a direction in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents no.3 and 4 to take action against the private respondent no.6 for the purposes of safety and security of the petitioner's property.

4. Specific query was put to the counsel for the petitioner as to whether the instant petition has been filed in public interest to which it has been answered in negative. However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that proceeding under Section 67 of U.P. Revenue Code, 2006 is already underway against the private respondent no.6, however, it is the respondent no.6, who is attempting to encroach upon the land of the petitioner.

5. In view of the aforesaid fact that there is a private dispute between the petitioner and private respondent no.6, this Court is not inclined to entertain the petition leaving it open to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedy as may be

available to him in the law.

6. With the aforesaid observation, the petition stands **disposed of.**

(Indrajeet Shukla,J.) **(Jaspreet Singh,J.)**

June 4, 2026
S.P.

Digitally signed by :-
SANJAY PURI
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad,
Lucknow Bench