HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
LUCKNOW

WRIT - C No. - 3302 of 2026

Ram Ashish Verma

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Revenue Lko.
And 3 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Manoj Kumar Chaturvedi
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C.

Court No. - 3

HON'BLE JASPREET SINGH, J.
HON'BLE INDRAJEET SHUKLA, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. Notice on behalf of State respondents have been accepted by the office of learned Chief Standing Counsel.

3. The petitioner is aggrieved by the rejection of his claim under the Mukhyamantri Kisan Durghatna Kalyan Yojna. However, this Court finds that the petitioner has neither given the date of the dismissal of his claim nor the order has been placed on record.

4. Considering the intent of the said scheme, this Court could have dismissed the petition with liberty to the petitioner to file afresh with the said order. This has specifically not been done for the reason that Sri Pankaj Patel, learned Additional Chief Standing Counsel has on the basis of the written instructions informed that the claim of the petitioner has been rejected on the ground of limitation which otherwise in the light of order passed by this Court in **Gautam Yadav Vs. State of U.P., 2020:AHC:97950-DB** could not have been done.

5. In such circumstances, the State is directed to file its response bringing on record the order of dismissal within a period of two weeks. Petitioner shall have two weeks thereafter to file rejoinder affidavit.

6. List this matter in the third week of July, 2026.

June 4, 2026
Mohit

(Indrajeet Shukla,J.) (Jaspreet Singh,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
MOHIT KUMAR KUSHWAHA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad,
Lucknow Bench