2026:AHC-LKO:41946

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
LUCKNOW

APPLICATION U/S 482 No. - 4814 of 2026

Azhar Alias Azharuddin

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Home Lko.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Sudhaker Prakash
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 11

HON'BLE SUBHASH CHANDRA SHARMA, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the material on record.

2. The present application u/s 482 Cr.P.C./528 B.N.S.S. has been moved with prayer to allow this application and to issue an order or direction to the court concerned to accept one surety and petitioner's personal bond for the purpose of release of the applicant in respect of all 6 criminal cases in which bail has been granted by the Hon'ble Court as well as learned court concerned as per guidelines given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Hani Nishad alias Mohd. Imran @ Vikki vs. State of U.P. in S.L.P. (Criminal) No.8914-8915/2018 decided on 29.10.2018.***

3. It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that in this case there were 6 cases against the applicant in which he has already been granted bail by the courts concerned but due to unavoidable circumstances he is not in position to furnish personal bond and sureties for all the cases, therefore, request to direct the learned trial court to accept the one personal bond and one surety in one case treating them good in all the cases against the applicant as aforesaid in which he has already been granted bail in view of the law as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Hani Nishad alias Mohd. Imran @ Vikki vs. State of U.P. in S.L.P. (Criminal) No.8914-8915/2018 decided on 29.10.2018***.

4. Learned A.G.A. has no objection in this regard.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by learned counsel for the applicant as well as learned A.G.A. and from the perusal of record, it appears that the applicant has already been granted bail by the courts concerned in six cases as mentioned in the paragraph- 6 of the bail application, therefore, in view of the law as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Hani Nishad alias Mohd. Imran @ Vikki vs. State of U.P. in S.L.P. (Criminal) No.8914-8915/2018*** decided on

29.10.2018, the applicant will execute a personal bond and two sureties to the satisfaction of the learned trial court and the same personal bond and sureties shall hold good for all the six cases as mentioned in paragraph-6 of the bail application.

6. Accordingly, the application is ***disposed of*** finally.

June 24, 2026
DiVYa

(Subhash Chandra Sharma,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
DIVYA SINGH
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad,
Lucknow Bench