2026:AHC-LKO:40479

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
LUCKNOW

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 3923 of 2026

Shyam Lal

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Home Lko.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Hariom Divedi
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 3

HON'BLE KARUNESH SINGH PAWAR, J.

Heard learned counsel for the applicant, learned AGA for the State and perused the record.

Supplementary affidavit filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.

This is second bail application. The first bail application of the applicant was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 26.02.2026 passed in Criminal Misc. Bail Application No. 12571 of 2025.

Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is a senior citizen aged about 66 years and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant has explained his criminal antecedents relating to three cases in the bail application as well as in the supplementary affidavit. Learned counsel further contends that the offences alleged against the applicant are punishable with a maximum sentence of three years' imprisonment. It is also submitted that the co-accused persons, namely, Dinesh Kumar and Smt. Shyama, have already been granted bail by the court below. The applicant is languishing in jail since 21.10.2025.

It is further submitted that there is no possibility of the applicant of fleeing away after being released from jail or tampering with the witnesses. In case the applicant is enlarged on bail, he shall not misuse the liberty of bail.

Learned A.G.A. opposed the prayer for bail but could not dispute the

aforesaid facts as argued by the learned counsel for the applicant.

Having consideration to the submissions advanced and taking into account the fact that the applicant is a 66-year-old person, who has duly explained his criminal antecedents pertaining to three cases in the bail application as well as in the supplementary affidavit, and further considering that the offences alleged are punishable with a maximum sentence of three years' imprisonment, coupled with the fact that the co-accused, namely, Dinesh Kumar and Smt. Shyama, have already been enlarged on bail by the court below (SA-2 collectively), as also the period of incarceration already undergone by the applicant, this Court is of the considered view that the applicant has made out a fit case for grant of bail.

Let the applicant ***Shyam Lal***, involved in Case Crime/FIR No. 71/2024, Under Sections 147/384/504/506/448/511 IPC, Police Station Jankipuram, District Lucknow, be released on bail in the aforementioned case on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of Magistrate/Court concerned, subject to following conditions:-

(i) the applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence;

(ii) the applicant shall not pressurize the prosecution witnesses;

(iii) the applicant shall appear on each and every date fixed by the trial Court.

Any other condition(s) which the trial court/concerned court may deem fit and proper, in the circumstances of the case, may also be imposed.

It is clarified that the observations made in this order are confined to the present bail application and shall have no bearing on the merits of the trial.

(Karunesh Singh Pawar,J.)

June 8, 2026
R.C.

Digitally signed by :-
RAM CHANDER YADAV
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad,
Lucknow Bench