2026:AHC-LKO:39321

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
LUCKNOW

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 3879 of 2026

Ajeet Kumar

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Deptt. Of Home U.P. Lko.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Dileep Singh, Ashish Kumar Singh
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 28

HON'BLE RAJEEV BHARTI, J.

Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned AGA for the State and perused the record.

Supplementary affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.

This is first bail application filed on behalf of the applicant who is involved in Case Crime No.402 of 2025, under Sections 109(1), 131, 61(2) of B.N.S., Police Station Kotwali Nagar, District Pratapgarh.

Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that there is no independent public witness of alleged recovery, conspiracy or incident and no overt act causing injury is assigned to the present applicant and no injury whatsoever has been sustained by the informant. Co accused Amit Kumar who is assigned the same role as has been attributed to the applicant, has been granted bail by the trial court. Similarly, the co accused Dhirendra Singh @ Sibbu Singh who is alleged to give contract (supari) for killing the complainant, has also been granted bail by the court below. Two other co accused namely Krishan Raj Singh @ Santosh Thakur has also been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 27.4.2026. It is a case of no injury. It is further submitted that the applicant has criminal history of five cases which have been duly explained in paragraph 2 of the supplementary affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant. The applicant is in jail since 25.8.2025. There is no

possibility of the applicant fleeing away after being released from jail or tampering with the prosecution witnesses. He undertakes that in case the applicant is enlarged on bail, he shall not misuse the liberty of bail.

Learned A.G.A. opposed the prayer for bail but could not dispute the aforesaid facts as argued by the learned counsel for the applicant.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the fact and having perused the material available on record particularly the fact that the co accused have been granted bail by the court below and this Court; applicant is in jail since 25.8.2025; criminal history of the applicant has duly been explained in paragraph 2 of the supplementary affidavit filed in support of the bail application; without entering into the merits of the case, this Court is of the view that it is a fit case for enlarging the applicant on bail.

Accordingly, the bail application is ***allowed.***

Let the applicant- ***Ajeet Kumar*** involved in the aforesaid case crime number be released on bail in the aforementioned case on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of Magistrate/Court concerned, subject to following conditions:-

(i) the applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence;

(ii) the applicant shall not pressurize the prosecution witnesses;

(iii) the applicant shall appear on each and every date fixed by the trial Court, either personally or through his counsel.

Any other condition(s) which the trial court/concerned court may deem fit and proper, in the circumstances of the case, may also be imposed.

It is clarified that the observations made in this order are confined to the present bail application and shall have no bearing on the merits of the trial.

(Rajeev Bharti,J.)

May 29, 2026
Shukla

Digitally signed by :-
ASHUTOSH KUMAR SHUKLA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad,
Lucknow Bench