



2026:AHC:209779

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 35515 of 2026

Faisal

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Dinesh Kumar Yadav
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 68
(Sl. No. 63 out of 190)

HON'BLE KRISHAN PAHAL, J.

1. List has been revised.
2. Heard Sri Dinesh Kumar Yadav, learned counsel for the applicant, Sri Satyam Narayan, learned Advocate holding brief for Sri Harish Chandra Dwivedi, learned counsel for the informant as well as Ms. Ifrah Islam, learned State Law Officer and perused the material placed on record.
3. Applicant seeks bail in Case Crime No. 674 of 2025, under Sections 109(1), 191(2), 131, 118(1) BNS, Police Station Lohiya Nagar, District Meerut, during the pendency of trial.
4. Learned counsel for the applicant has argued that the applicant is absolutely innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. The FIR is delayed by about ten hours and there is no explanation of the said delay caused. There are general and omnibus allegations against all the accused persons, which are nine in number.
5. Learned counsel has further stated that it is also alleged that all the accused persons had assaulted the injured person. The injured person has sustained only one injury on his occipital region, as such, the injury report also does not corroborate the prosecution allegations. It is true that the injured person has sustained fracture on the occipital bone but the applicant cannot be attributed the role of having assaulted him. There is stated to be CCTV footage but the same is also not conclusive, as such, applicant is

entitled for bail.

6. Learned counsel for the applicant has further argued that there is no criminal history of the applicant. The applicant is languishing in jail since 9.9.2026 and he is ready to cooperate with trial. In case, the applicant is released on bail, he will not misuse the liberty of bail.

7. Learned State Law Officer has vehemently opposed the bail application but the submissions raised by the learned counsel for the applicant could not be disputed.

8. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the evidence on record, taking into consideration the fact that there are general and omnibus allegations against all the accused persons, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, *prima-facie* the Court is of the view that the applicant has made out a case for bail. The bail application is **allowed**.

9. Let the applicant- **Faisal**, who is involved in aforementioned case crime be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned subject to verification of sureties, with the condition that he shall not tamper with evidence or intimidate witnesses and shall appear before the trial court as required.

10. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, it shall be a ground for cancellation of bail.

11. It is made clear that observations made in granting bail to the applicant shall not in any way affect the learned trial Judge in forming his independent opinion based on the testimony of the witnesses.

(Krishan Pahal,J.)

October 6, 2026

Shalini