



2026:AHC:208528

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 32847 of 2026

Bhola Singh

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Pradeep Chauhan, Vipan Kumar
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A., Ramesh Chandra Pal

Court No. - 68
(Sr. No.94 out of 186)

HON'BLE KRISHAN PAHAL, J.

1. List has been revised. Rejoinder affidavit filed today is taken on record.
2. Heard Sri I.K. Chaturvedi, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Sri Vipan Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant, Sri Ramesh Chandra Pal, learned counsel for the informant, Sri Anit Kumar Shukla, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the material placed on record.
3. Applicant seeks bail in Case Crime No.259 of 2026, under Section 109(1) B.N.S., Police Station Kotwali Fatehgarh, District Farrukhabad, during the pendency of trial.
4. As per prosecution story, the applicant is stated to have assaulted and caused injuries to the brother of the informant on 11.08.2026 at about 10:30 am.
5. Learned Senior Counsel for the applicant has argued that the applicant is absolutely innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. The FIR was lodged after a delay of about 12 hours, and there is no explanation for the said delay. The allegations are per se false. There is a cross-version to the instant case, as the applicant also sustained 9 injuries in the incident. The applicant was examined on the same day, i.e., 11.08.2026, at 12:50 p.m. at Government Hospital, Farrukhabad, and an FIR has also been instituted on behalf of the applicant, though belatedly, which has been registered as Case Crime No.0318 of 2026. At this point of time, it cannot be ascertained as to

which party was the aggressor. The applicant has no criminal history and is in jail since 13.08.2026. He undertakes to cooperate with the trial and not misuse the liberty of bail.

6. Learned counsel for the informant and learned A.G.A. have vehemently opposed the bail application on the ground that there is recovery of weapon at the pointing out of the applicant, as such, he is not entitled to bail.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the evidence on record, taking into consideration the fact that there is cross-version to the instant case, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, *prima-facie* the Court is of the view that the applicant has made out a case for bail. The bail application is **allowed**.

8. Let the applicant- **Bhola Singh**, be released on bail in the aforementioned case crime on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties to the satisfaction of the court concerned, subject to verification of sureties, with the conditions that he shall not tamper with evidence or intimidate witnesses and shall appear before the trial court as required.

9. Breach of any condition shall entail cancellation of bail. The observations herein shall not affect the trial on merits.

(Krishan Pahal,J.)

October 6, 2026

(Ravi Kant)