2026:AHC:188374

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 31851 of 2026

Smt Priti Srivastava

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Ram Prakash Singh
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 70

HON'BLE JAI PRAKASH TIWARI, J.

Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned AGA for the State-respondent and perused the record of the case.

The instant application has been filed seeking release of the applicant on bail in Case Crime No. 20 of 2026, under Sections 331(4), 324(5), 318(4), 61(2)Ka, 338, 336(3), 340(2) BNS, Police Station- Panki, District- Kanpur Nagar, during pendency of the trial in the court concerned.

Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the applicant is absolutely innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case due to some ulterior motive. It is next submitted that applicant is having no concern with the allegations levelled against her and she has not committed any offence as alleged by prosecution. It is next submitted that the applicant is neither seller nor purchaser nor the marginal witness of the alleged sale deeds. The applicant is not the beneficiary of any illicit transactions in any manner. At this stage, there is no corroborative evidence to link the applicant the present crime. Similarly placed co-accused Sushil Kumar Shukla has been granted bail by co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 7.5.2026 in Criminal Misc. Bail Application No. 14569 of 2026. Criminal history of the applicant has been explained in the instant bail application. The applicant is lady and she is languishing in jail since 17.3.2026. It is also submitted that the applicant undertakes that she will not misuse the liberty, if granted. It has also been pointed out that in the wake of heavy pendency of cases in the Court, there is no likelihood of any early conclusion of trial.

The prayer for bail has been vehemently opposed by learned A.G.A.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, perusing the record, evidence and also considering the nature of allegations, arguments advanced by the learned counsel for the parties, this Court is of the view

that the applicant is entitled to be enlarged on bail during the pendency of the trial.

Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant bail application is **allowed**.

Let applicant, **Smt Priti Srivastava** be released on bail in the aforesaid case on his executing a personal bond and furnishing two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned subject to the following conditions:-

(i). The applicant will not tamper with the evidence during the trial.

(ii). The applicant will not pressurize/ intimidate the prosecution witness.

(iii). The applicant will appear before the trial court on the date fixed, unless personal presence is exempted.

(iv). The applicant shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, of the commission of which he is suspected.

(v). The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

It is further directed that the identity, status and residence proof of the sureties be verified by the authorities concerned before they are accepted.

In case of breach of any of the above conditions, the trial court will be at liberty to cancel the bail.

It is clarified that the observations made herein are limited to the facts brought in by the parties pertaining to the disposal of bail application and the said observations shall have no bearing on the merits of the case during trial.

(Jai Prakash Tiwari,J.)

September 9, 2026
KK Patel

Digitally signed by :-
KRISHN KANT PATEL
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad