



2026:AHC:190029

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 32384 of 2026

Ashwani Jadaun

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Keshawa Prasad Pandey, Krishna
Chandra Pandey

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 68

(Sl. No. 29 out of 76)

HON'BLE KRISHAN PAHAL, J.

1. List has been revised.
2. Heard Sri Krishna Chandra Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant, Sri R.P. Singh, learned State Law Officer and perused the material placed on record.
3. Applicant seeks bail in Case Crime No.221 of 2026, under Sections 318(4), 316(5), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 238, 61(2) BNS and 66(C) I.T. Act, Police Station Sihanigate, District Ghaziabad, during the pendency of trial.
4. As per the allegations in the FIR, the applicant forged the documents as well as the chasis of the vehicle in question, which came out to be 16060285 while the applicant had pasted the chasis no. T6A69064 over it.
5. Learned counsel for the applicant has argued that the applicant is absolutely innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He did not hold any power to issue any invoice to any of the customers. The allegations were that applicant used his clout and did the same act with several other vehicles and inflicted a loss of about Rs. 72,33,306/- to the informant. There is no cogent evidence against the applicant, as such, he is entitled for bail.
6. Learned counsel for the applicant has further argued that there is no

criminal history of the applicant. The applicant is languishing in jail since 5.7.2026 and he is ready to cooperate with trial. In case, the applicant is released on bail, he will not misuse the liberty of bail.

7. Learned State Law Officer has vehemently opposed the bail application but the submissions raised by the learned counsel for the applicant could not be disputed.

8. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, there being no cogent evidence against the applicant of having committed the said offence and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, *prima-facie* the Court is of the view that the applicant has made out a case for bail. The bail application is **allowed**.

9. Let the applicant- **Ashwani Jadaun**, who is involved in aforementioned case crime be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned subject to verification of sureties, with the condition that he shall not tamper with evidence or intimidate witnesses and shall appear before the trial court as required.

10. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, it shall be a ground for cancellation of bail.

11. It is made clear that observations made in granting bail to the applicant shall not in any way affect the learned trial Judge in forming his independent opinion based on the testimony of the witnesses.

(Krishan Pahal,J.)

September 11, 2026

Shalini