



2026:AHC:211111

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 29945 of 2026

Ranjeet @ Ranjeet Gurjar

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 4 Others

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Azad Khan
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 68

HON'BLE KRISHAN PAHAL, J.

1. List has been revised. Second supplementary affidavit filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.
2. Heard Sri Azad Khan, learned counsel for the applicant, Sri Arun Kumar Mishra, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the material placed on record.
3. Applicant seeks bail in Case Crime No.503 of 2022, under Sections 363, 366, 504, 506, 376-D I.P.C. and Section 5/6 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offence Act, 2012, Police Station- Sipari Bazar, District- Jhansi, during the pendency of trial.
4. This is the second bail application on behalf of the applicant. The first bail application was rejected by this Court vide order dated 17.5.2025 passed in Criminal Misc. Bail Application No.43975 of 2024.
5. As a new ground, learned counsel for the applicant has stated that the victim was a consenting party and she had even approached this Court as she wanted to marry the applicant. Her personal affidavit to this effect has been filed as Annexure-7 to the affidavit filed with bail application. Furthermore, there are several contradictions in the statement of victim recorded as PW-1 as she has stated that she had given her statement U/s 164 Cr.P.C. under duress.
6. Learned counsel for the applicant has further stated that the criminal

history assigned to the applicant has been explained. The applicant is languishing in jail since 8.12.2022, as such, the period of incarceration is marginally less than four years. The fundamental rights of the applicant enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution of India stand violated. All the witnesses of fact have been examined. As such, he is entitled to bail. He is ready to cooperate with trial. In case, the applicant is released on bail, he will not misuse the liberty of bail.

7. Learned A.G.A. has vehemently opposed the bail application but could not dispute the submissions made by the counsel for the applicant.

8. This Court had called for the status of trial from the concerned trial court. As per the said status report dated 8.9.2026, six prosecution witnesses have already been examined.

9. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the evidence on record, taking into consideration the affidavit of the victim given before this Court and the period of incarceration, which is marginally less than four years, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the Court is of the view that the applicant has made out a case for bail. The bail application is **allowed**.

10. Let the applicant- **Ranjeet @ Ranjeet Gurjar**, be released on bail in the aforementioned case crime on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties to the satisfaction of the court concerned, subject to verification of sureties, with the conditions that he shall not tamper with evidence or intimidate witnesses and shall appear before the trial court as required.

11. Breach of any condition shall entail cancellation of bail. The observations herein shall not affect the trial on merits.

(Krishan Pahal,J.)

October 7, 2026

Vikas Verma