



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 15255 of 2026

Brijmohan

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Bal Mukund Singh, Shri Prakash Mishra
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 65
(Sl. No. 49 out of 269)

HON'BLE KRISHAN PAHAL, J.

1. List has been revised.
2. Heard Sri Bal Mukund Singh, learned counsel for the applicant as well as Sri R.P. Singh, learned State Law Officer and perused the material placed on record.
3. Applicant seeks bail in Case Crime No. 0038 of 2026, under Sections 69, 352, 351(3) BNS, Police Station Dakshintola, District Mau, during the pendency of trial.

ARGUMENTS ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

4. The applicant is absolutely innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. The only bone of contention is that applicant promised to marry the victim after divorcing his wife but did not comply with the said promise and is even stated to have video-recorded and clicked certain photographs of the victim. There is no recovery of any photo or video, as such, it is a clear-cut case of false implication. There is no clinching evidence to suggest that the applicant misled the victim from the beginning. The victim is major, being 26 years old.
5. There is no criminal history of the applicant. The applicant is languishing in jail since 25.3.2026 and he is ready to cooperate with trial. In case, the applicant is released on bail, he will not misuse the liberty of bail.

ARGUMENTS ON BEHALF OF STATE/INFORMANT:

6. The bail application has been opposed but the submissions raised by counsel for the applicant has not been opposed.

CONCLUSION:

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the evidence on record, taking into consideration the fact that there is no recovery of any photo or video of the victim and there being no clinching evidence to suggest that the applicant misled the victim from the beginning, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the Court is of the view that the applicant has made out a case for bail. The bail application is **allowed**.

8. Let the applicant- **Brijmohan**, who is involved in aforementioned case crime be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned subject to verification of sureties, with the condition that he shall not tamper with evidence or intimidate witnesses and shall appear before the trial court as required.

9. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, it shall be a ground for cancellation of bail.

10. It is made clear that observations made in granting bail to the applicant shall not in any way affect the learned trial Judge in forming his independent opinion based on the testimony of the witnesses.

(Krishan Pahal,J.)

May 14, 2026
Shalini