



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 15090 of 2026

Sachin @ Bhanu Pratap

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Pramod K. Gupta, Shailendra Kumar
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 65
(Sr. No.18 out of 205)

HON'BLE KRISHAN PAHAL, J.

1. List has been revised.
2. Heard Sri Pramod K. Gupta, learned counsel for the applicant, Sri Sunil Kumar, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the material placed on record.
3. Applicant seeks bail in Case Crime No.130 of 2026, under Section 69 BNS, Police Station Dibiyapur, District Auraiya, during the pendency of trial.
4. As per prosecution story, the applicant is stated to have established corporeal relationship with the victim on the false promise of marriage and had later on refused to comply with the said promise.
5. Learned counsel for the applicant has argued that the applicant is absolutely innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He has nothing to do with the said offence. The victim is a consenting party. The only allegation against the applicant is that he established corporeal relationship with her on the false promise of marriage, and subsequently refused to comply with the said promise rather the applicant is stated to have gone to the extent of marrying elsewhere in a Chief Minister Marriage Ceremony.
6. Learned counsel for the applicant has further stated that it is a clear cut case of consensual relationship between two major persons. The victim is 24

years old as per her own statement. There is no clinching evidence to suggest that the applicant misled the victim from the beginning. The informant has converted a failed consensual physical relationship into a criminal case. The criminal history assigned to the applicant has been explained. He is in jail since 1.4.2026. He undertakes to cooperate with the trial and not misuse the liberty of bail.

7. Learned A.G.A. has vehemently opposed the bail application.

8. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the evidence on record, taking into consideration the fact that victim is major and there being no clinching evidence to suggest that the applicant misled her from the beginning, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, *prima-facie* the Court is of the view that the applicant has made out a case for bail. The bail application is **allowed**.

9. Let the applicant- **Sachin @ Bhanu Pratap**, be released on bail on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties to the satisfaction of the court concerned, subject to verification of sureties, with the conditions that he shall not tamper with evidence or intimidate witnesses and shall appear before the trial court as required.

10. Breach of any condition shall entail cancellation of bail. The observations herein shall not affect the trial on merits.

(Krishan Pahal,J.)

May 5, 2026

Vikas Verma