



2026:AHC:196941

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 11265 of 2026

Vikas Kumar

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

The State Of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	: Kunwar Akhilendra Singh, Shyam Kishore Yadav
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	: G.A., Kamalesh Kumar Nishad, Om Prakash

Court No. - 66

HON'BLE ARUN KUMAR SINGH DESHWAL, J.

1. Heard Sri Atul Kumar, Advocate, holding brief of Sri Shyam Kishore Yadav, learned counsel for the applicant, Sri Om Prakash along with Sri Kamalesh Kumar Nishad, counsel for the first informant, Sri Roshan Kumar Singh, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.
2. The instant bail application has been filed with a prayer to release the applicant on bail during the trial in Case Crime No.456 of 2024, under Sections 85, 80(2) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita and Section 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, Police Station- Govind Nagar, District-Kanpur Nagar.
3. Contention of counsel for the applicant is that the applicant is husband of the deceased. He has been falsely implicated. The deceased herself committed suicide by hanging. It is further submitted that on the basis of general and vague allegation, the applicant has been falsely implicated, though in the FIR as well as in the statement of witnesses, no specific time and date has been mentioned for demand of dowry, therefore, the allegation of demand of dowry is absolutely false and the applicant has been falsely implicated. Applicant has no criminal history. He is languishing in jail since 01.01.2025. In case the applicant is released on bail, he will not misuse the liberty of bail and would cooperate in the investigation/trial proceedings.
4. Per contra, learned A.G.A. as well as counsel for the first informant vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that the deceased died

under unnatural circumstance within seven years of her marriage and there was consistence statement of first informant and other family members of the deceased that the deceased was subjected to cruelty for non-fulfillment of demand of dowry, therefore, the applicant is not entitled to be released on bail.

5. Considering the aforesaid submissions of learned counsel for the parties and taking into account that the deceased died under unnatural circumstance within seven years of her marriage and there is material showing that the deceased was subjected to cruelty soon before her death for non-fulfillment of demand of dowry, therefore, this Court is not inclined to release the applicant on bail, at this stage.

6. Accordingly, the bail application is **rejected**.

7. However, considering the observations made by the Apex Court in the case of **Liakat Ali v. State of Jammu & Kashmir, Writ Petition (Crl) No.99 of 2026** that if a person is in jail, then it is the duty of the trial court and the prosecution to conclude the trial as early as possible, therefore, the trial court is directed to conclude the trial as early as possible.

(Arun Kumar Singh Deshwal,J.)

September 18, 2026
CS/-