HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Contempt Petition No. 1004/2025
CNR: RJHC020796032025 | URN: CCP / 1070U / 2025

Kailash Chand Meena S/o Shri Narayan Meena, Aged About 69 Years, R/o Village Salempur Khurd, Tehsil Bhusawar, District Bharatpur.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Shri Krishna Kunal, Principal Secretary, Education Department Department, Govt. Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Shri Ashish Modi, Director, Secondary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner, (Raj.).
3. Shri Sita Ram Jat, Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan Bikaner.
4. Shri V.k. Singh, Additional Director, Pension And Pensioners, Welfare, Regional Office, Bharatpur.
5. Shri Rameshwar Dayal Bansal, District Education Officer, Secondary Education-I, Bharatpur.
6. Shri Sahab Singh, District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Bharatpur.
7. Shri Ram Ratan, Block Elementary Education Officer, Panchayat Samiti Weir, District Jaipur.
8. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Education Department Govt. Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Ved Prakash Saini
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. S.S. Naruka, AAG with Ms. Ritika Naruka and Mr. Jitendra Kumar Takar

---

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GANESH RAM MEENA

Order

18/08/2026

1. This contempt petition has been filed by the petitioner alleging non compliance of the order dated 12.07.2024 passed in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.23090/2017.

2. Vide order dated 12.07.2024, the respondents were directed to refund the recovered amount to the petitioner within a period of three months.

3. Mr. S.S. Naruka, Additional Advocate General appearing for the respondent No.8, on instruction from the respondent, states that the financial sanction has been issued by the Finance Department regarding the refund of amount of Rs.117139/- and necessary instructions have already been issued to the Principal/PEOO for making payment to the petitioner. He has also placed before this Court the letters regarding the financial sanction and directions for making payments, which are taken on record.

4. The learned AAG further submits that the payment would be made to the petitioner within the next few days.

5. In view of the above, the Court is of the opinion that the respondents have made substantial compliance of the order.

6. Accordingly, this contempt petition is disposed of and contempt notices are discharged.

7. In case the actual payment is not made to the petitioner within next fifteen days, as per the financial sanction and instruction, the petitioner would be at liberty to make an application for revival of this contempt petition.

(GANESH RAM MEENA),J

63/ARTI SHARMA