HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15340/2026
CNR: RJHC020765902026 | URN: CW / 32661U / 2026

Dharmendra Kumar S/o Babulal, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Village Thamli, Tehsil Baran, Post Simali, District Baran, Rajasthan-325205.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Department Of Technical Education, Govt. Of Rajasthan, Govt. Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Directorate Of Technical Education (Training) E-6, Residence Road, Jodhpur (Raj.).
3. The Deputy Director, Technical Education (Training), Zonal Office, Kota (Raj.)
4. The Assistant Director, Govt. Industrial Training Institute, Sultanpur, Kota, Raj.
5. Principal, Government Industrial Training Institute, Sultanpur, Bhanwra Road, Sultanpur, District Kota (Rajasthan).

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Devkrishna Purohit, with Mr. Inder Jeet Tak
For Respondent(s)	:	

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI

Order

12/08/2026

1. The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner being aggrieved by the discontinuation of his service as Guest Instructor at Government Industrial Training Institute, Sultanpur, Kota.

2. Mr. Devkrishna Purohit, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite the fact that the petitioner has served the

respondents from 02.09.2024 to 21.06.2025 and thereafter, from 01.09.2025 to 16.06.2026, which is evident from the experience certificate dated 08.07.2026 (Annexure-6) issued by the respondents themselves, the petitioner has not been permitted to continue his services with effect from 01.07.2026.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has been duly performing his duties, however, the respondents are bent upon to replace the petitioner by another contractual employee, which is contrary to the settled position of law.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that for redressal of his grievance, the petitioner has already submitted a representation before the respondents on 30.07.2026, however, no decision has been taken upon the same.

5. Taking note of the aforesaid submissions and without delving into the merits of the matter, the present writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents to consider and decide the representation so submitted by the petitioner by passing a speaking and reasoned order within a period of 15 days from today, i.e. 12.08.2026, strictly in accordance with law after granting an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and further by considering the preposition of law that one set of contractual employees cannot be replaced by another set of contractual employees. The respondents are also directed to duly communicate the decision so taken to the petitioner within seven days' of the passing of the same, along with a certified copy of this order. However, it is directed that till an order is passed on the representation filed by the petitioner, the respondents are

restrained from replacing the petitioner by another contractual employee.

6. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

7. Needless to say, this order has been passed only to decide the petitioner's representation strictly in accordance with law and in no manner is to be construed as a direction to decide the same in a particular manner.

(ANUROOP SINGHI),J

7/VINAY