HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 12141/2026
CNR: RJHC020742162026 | URN: CRLMB / 22338U / 2026

Ankit S/o Balram, Aged About 20 Years, R/o Gram Manpura, P/s Sadar Baran, District Baran (Raj.) (Accused Presently Confined In District Jail Baran)

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 12528/2026
CNR: RJHC020754272026 | URN: CRLMB / 23100U / 2026

Sonu S/o Jitendra, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Gram, Manpura, P/s Sadar Baran, At Present Kiraye Se Lalta Ka Makan Bajaja Showroom Ke Pichhe, Atru P/s Atru District Baran (Raj.) (Accused Presently In District Jail Baran).

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Saurabh Jain Mr. Aditya Jain for Mr. Bhim Singh Meena
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Jaiprakash Tiwari, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR

Order

02/09/2026

1. The petitioners have filed these bail applications under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.146/2026 registered at Police Station Baran Sadar, District Baran for offences under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109(2) and 3(5) of BNS, 2023.

2. Since both these bail applications arise out of common FIR, hence, they are being decided by this common order.

3. Heard learned counsels for the petitioners as well as learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

4. Learned counsels for the petitioners contend that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in these cases. It is submitted that the allegation levelled against the present petitioners is that they caused life-threatening injuries to the injured Hansraj but as per the material available on record, the main allegation regarding the infliction of life-threatening injuries upon injured Hansraj is specifically attributed to co-accused Ravi. Petitioners are behind the bars since 28.06.2026 without any criminal past. The investigation/trial of the case will take considerable time, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioners in further custody, consequently, the bail applications deserves to be allowed.

5. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail applications of the petitioners.

6. On consideration of the rival submissions and material available on record and in the light of submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners as well as custody period of the petitioners but without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, I am inclined to grant benefit of bail to the petitioners.

7. Consequently, the bail applications under Section 483 B.N.S.S. are allowed and it is directed that the petitioners **Ankit S/o Balram** & **Sonu S/o Jitendra,** be released on bail provided each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each to the

satisfaction of the learned trial court with the stipulation that each of them shall appear before that Court on all subsequent dates of hearing till conclusion of the trial.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J

Chandan/48-49