HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 9293/2026
CNR: RJHC020546072026 | URN: CRLMB / 17053U / 2026

Jitendra Kumar Sain S/o Vimal Kumar Adopted Son Of Sh. Jeevan Lal, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Rani Sati Mandir, Near Birla Petrol Pump, Pilani, District-Jhunjhunu Currently Residence Of House No. A-73, Jestay Boys Pg, Shekhawati Nagar, Murlipura Jaipur (At Presently, Central Jail, Ghat Gate Jaipur)

----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, through PP

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Himmat Singh Shekhawat Mr. Himanshu
For State	:	Mr. Tapesh Agarwal, PP
For Complainant(s)	:	Mr. Anoop Pareek Mr. Kshitiz Sharma

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SANGEETA SHARMA

Order

23/07/2026

1. The instant bail application has been filed against the order of the learned trial Court dated 27.05.2026 on behalf of the accused-petitioner under Section 483 of BNSS in connection with FIR No. 401/2026 registered at Police Station Murlipura, District Jaipur(West) for the offence(s) punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC.

2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioner submits that the accused-petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case; that charge-sheet has already been filed. He also submits that the accused-petitioner is in custody since long. He further submits that the alleged offences are triable by Magistrate**.** He submits

that co-accused Mayank Agarwal has been enlarged on bail and conclusion of trial will take its own time, so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the accused-petitioner behind the bars and, therefore, prays that the accused-petitioner may be granted the benefit of bail under Section 483 of BNSS.

3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the submissions made by learned counsel for the accused-petitioner hereinabove and submits that there are five other criminal antecedents against the accused-petitioner,.

4. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for both the parties; that the charge-sheet has already been filed; that the accused-petitioner is in custody since 22.04.2026; that co-accused Mayank Agarwal has been enlarged on bail vide order dated 20.05.2026 in S.B. Criminal Misc. Bail Application No.7146/2026 by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court and trial will take its own time. Hence, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits/demerits of the case, deems it just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioner on bail.

5. Therefore, the instant bail application under Section 483 BNSS is accordingly, allowed and the accused-petitioner **Jitendra Kumar Sain S/o Vimal Kumar** is ordered to be released on bail, provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs. 50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the Trial Court, with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

6. It is made clear that the accused-petitioner shall not involve in any offence(s) during currency of the bail and he shall mark his presence on 1st and 15th of every month in the concerned police station till the completion of trial.

7. In case of any breach of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Public Prosecutor shall be free to move the application against the accused-petitioner for cancellation of the bail before the concerned Court.

8. The observation made hereinabove is only for decision of the instant bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

9. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned trial Court and Jail authorities through e-mail/fax, for necessary compliance.

(SANGEETA SHARMA),J

47/HEENA