HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 10514/2026
CNR: RJHC020521672026 | URN: CW / 23257U / 2026

Meena Daughter Of Ganshyam Morya Wife Of Late Prakash Kumar Atal, Aged About 38 Years, Resident Of Plot No.4 Meera Bhawan, Super Bazar, Jaisingh Pura Khor District Jaipur-302027 (Raj.)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Department Of Local Self Government, G-3, Rajmahal Residential Area, Near Civil Lines Phatak, Jaipur (Raj.)
2. Director, Department Of Local Self Government, G-3, Rajmahal Residential Area, Near Civil Lines Phatak, Jaipur (Raj.)
3. Rajasthan Staff Selection Board, Jaipur Through Its Secretary, Rajasthan Agriculture Management Institute Premises, Durgapura, Jaipur-302018.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Tanveer Ahamad with Mr. Eliyas Khan
For Respondent(s)	:	

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAMEER JAIN

Order

13/08/2026

1. Upon a perusal of the material available on record, it is noted that qua the advertisement issued, the petitioner has filed her candidature under the ‘married’ category. It is an admitted fact that on 12.12.2024 advertisement was issued, and online applications were invited in-between 21.03.2025 and 19.04.2025; that as per condition No.17 of the advertisement dated 12.12.2024, an amendment could have been made within seven

days of the cut-off date, and as per the fresh note dated 07.10.2025, second amendment/correction in the application form could have been made till 16.10.2025. It is opined that when two opportunities were given to the petitioner, however, she has not carried out corrections in the alleged typographical mistake, as stated in the writ petition.

2. In the first round of litigation, liberty was granted to the petitioner to file a representation before the concerned authority and consideration thereof by way of a detailed order. Accordingly, Annexure-13, was passed, however, by way of the present petition same is put to challenge.

3. Considering that the petitioner did not rectify her mistake, despite the fact that two opportunities were granted; that present is a matter of public advertisement, where number of candidates have applied and 3rd party rights are created and; thus, if any intervention is made at this stage, the entire recruitment process shall be affected.

4. Accordingly, the present writ petition is dismissed. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(SAMEER JAIN),J

8/DEEPAK