HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

D.B. Special Appeal Writ No.587/2026

In
S. B. Civil Writ Petition No.8283/2026
URN: SAW / 1259U / 2026

All Rajasthan Private College Federation Society, Alwar, Through Its Chairman Sh. Karan Singh S/o Sh. Mahaveer Singh, Age About 41 Yrs, R/o Village Jharli, Tehsil Shrimadhopur, District Sikar (Raj.)

----Appellant/Petitioner

Versus

Raj Rishi Bhartrihari Matsya University, Alwar Through Its Registrar, Administrative Building, Haldina, Tehsil Malakhera, Alwar (Raj.)

----Respondent

For Appellant(s) : Mr. Amit Kumar Sharma, Adv.
For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE MR. SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BHUWAN GOYAL

Order

20/07/2026

1. The challenge in the present petition is to an interim order passed by the learned Single Judge dated 20th May, 2026, whereby the Court has dismissed the stay applications and admitted the writ petitions for final arguments.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that for the last two years the enhancement of affiliation fee by 10 per cent from Rs.1,20,000/- to Rs.1,32,000/- in one of the courses and similar enhancement of annual affiliation fee by 10 per cent in other courses, is in contravention of Sections 44 and 45 of the Raj Rishi

Bhartrihari Matsya University, Alwar Act, 2012, which requires a procedure to be followed before enhancing the affiliation fee for any year. The respondents had not complied with the provisions and directly enhanced the affiliation fee by 10 per cent.

3. We find that the writ petition is pending before the learned Single Judge and the aforesaid aspects regarding the violation are required to be adjudicated upon. However, we are in agreement with the learned Single Judge that the interim order with regard to enhancement ought not to have been made, as it would unnecessarily cause serious burden on the students if it is lateron found to be correct. The enhancement is not of much value, i.e., merely 10 per cent of the earlier affiliation fee, which has been done after three years.

4. Be that as it may, while refraining from making any observations on merits of the case, we direct that if ultimately the order is passed in favour of the appellant-writ petitioner, the enhanced amount shall be refunded to the persons, if so required.

5. With the aforesaid observations, the present special appeal (writ) is dismissed.

6. All pending application(s) stand(s) disposed off.

(BHUWAN GOYAL),J (SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA),ACTING CJ

Gourav/06