HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 7930/2026
URN: CRLMB / 14521U / 2026

Manish S/o Mubarik, Aged About 22 Years, R/o Khedli Gumani, Police Station Jurhara, District Deeg (Rajasthan)
(At Present Accused Petitioner Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).

---Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8383/2026
URN: CRLMB / 15284U / 2026

Vakil S/o Iqbal, Aged About 20 Years, R/o Firozpur Dahar, Police Station Nagina, District Nuh (Haryana)
(At Present Lodged In District Jail, Deeg).

---Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

----Respondent

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8384/2026
URN: CRLMB / 15285U / 2026

Abdul Fazal S/o Jan Mohammad, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Village Bhandara, Police Station Jurahara, District Deeg (Rajasthan)
(At Present Lodged In District Jail, Deeg).

---Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Ankit Khandelwal Mr. Swadeep Singh Hora with Mr. Kshitij Singh
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Naresh Gupta, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIPIN GUPTA

Judgment / Order

20/07/2026

1. The present bail applications have been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 against the order dated 11.05.2026 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge Kama, District Deeg, in Criminal Misc. Case Nos.648/2026, 646/2026 & 647/2026, respectively, whereby the bail applications of the petitioners were rejected in connection with F.I.R. No.138/2026, Police Station-Jurhera, District-Deeg for the offences under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 317(5), 303(2), 308(2), 313, & 61(2)(a) of BNS, 2023 & Section 66-D of the Information Technology (Amendment) Act, 2008.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are in custody since 23.04.2026. He further submits that the challan in the case has already been filed, offences are triable by Magistrate, the conclusion of trial will take sufficiently long time. He further submits that there are no criminal antecedents against the accused-petitioners of having committed any offence of similar nature or any other nature in past and, therefore, he prays that the petitioners may be enlarged on bail.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the present bail applications.

4. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

5. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and upon consideration of the fact that the petitioners are in custody

since 23.04.2026; offences are triable by Magistrate; the challan has been filed; trial will take considerable time, there are no criminal antecedents against the accused-petitioners of having committed any offence of similar nature or any other nature in past, this Court is of the opinion that the bail applications filed by the petitioners deserve to be accepted.

6. Consequently, the bail applications are **allowed**. It is ordered that the accused-petitioners namely-**(i) Manish S/o Mubarik, (ii) Vakil S/o Iqbal & (iii) Abdul Fazal S/o Jan Mohammad** arrested in connection with F.I.R. No.138/2026, Police Station-Jurhera, District-Deeg, shall be released on bail; provided each of them furnishes a personal bond of Rs.1,00,000/- (Rs. One Lakh Only) with two sureties of Rs. 50,000/- (Rs. Fifty Thousand Only) each, to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court, with the stipulation that they shall appear before the said Court on all dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so until completion of the trial, subject to the following conditions:

(i) The petitioners shall not leave country without the permission of the Court;

(ii) The petitioners shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which they are accused, or suspected, of the commission of which they are suspected;

(iii) The petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

(iv) In the event the petitioners are found involved in any other cyber fraud case in the future, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move an appropriate applications

before the learned trial Court for cancellation of the bail granted herein.

(BIPIN GUPTA),J

Sudha/38-40