HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 7649/2026
URN: CRLMB / 13932U / 2026

Seemarani D/o Shri Karnel Singh, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Ameenganj Mandi Paliwala, Police Station City Jalalabad, District Fazilka Punjab. Presently Confined In Central Jail Alwar.

----Accused/Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor

----Non-Petitioner

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Aatish Jain Mr. Rakesh Kumar Bairwa
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Sudesh Saini, PP Mr. Yogendra Singh

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SANGEETA SHARMA

Order

03/07/2026

1. The instant bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused-petitioner under Section 483 of BNSS in connection with FIR No. 63/2026 registered at Police Station Akhepura, District Alwar for the offence(s) punishable under Sections 318(4), 316(2) and 61(2) of BNS.

2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioner submits that the accused-petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case; that charge-sheet has already been filed; that the accused-petitioner is in custody since long; that the alleged offences are triable by Magistrate; conclusion of trial will take its own time, so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the accused-petitioner behind the bars and, therefore, prays that the accused-petitioner may be granted the benefit of bail under Section 483 of BNSS.

3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the submissions made by learned counsel for the accused-petitioner hereinabove but concurs with the fact that there are no criminal antecedents registered against the accused-petitioner.

4. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for both the parties; that the charge-sheet has already been filed; that the accused-petitioner is in custody since 20.04.2026; that the accused-petitioner is not required for further investigation; that there are no criminal antecedents registered against the accused-petitioner; trial will take its own time. Hence, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits/demerits of the case, deems it just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioner on bail.

5. Therefore, the instant bail application under Section 483 BNSS is accordingly, **allowed** and the accused-petitioner **Seemarani D/o Shri Karnel Singh** is ordered to be released on bail, provided she furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs. 50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the Trial Court, with the stipulation that she shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

6. It is made clear that the accused-petitioner shall not involve herself in any offence(s) during currency of the bail.

7. In case of any breach of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Public Prosecutor shall be free to move the application

against the accused-petitioner for cancellation of the bail before the concerned Court.

8. The observation made hereinabove is only for decision of the instant bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

9. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned trial Court through e-mail/fax, for necessary compliance.

(SANGEETA SHARMA),J

33/KAVISH