**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN**
**BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 7180/2026
URN: CRLMB / 13040U / 2026

Jatin Saini S/o Shri Om Prakash Saini, Aged About 19 Years, R/o Kangal Hath, Kati Ghati, Jaipur Road, Near Rangoli Garden, Police Station Sadar, District Alwar Rajasthan (Presently Accused Confined In Central Jail, Alwar)

----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor

----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 7181/2026
URN: CRLMB / 13041U / 2026

Sanjay Meena S/o Shri Mangal Ram Meena, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Meena Colony, Near Yadav Petrol Pump, Old Roopbas, Police Station Arawali Vihar, District Alwar (Raj.) (Presently Accused Confined In Central Jail, Alwar).

----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor

----Respondent

---

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| For Petitioner(s) | : | Mr. Kapil Gupta |
| For Respondent(s) | : | Mr. Manvendra Singh Choudhary, PP |

---

**HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SANGEETA SHARMA**

**Order**

**01/07/2026**

1. These instant bail applications have been filed on behalf of the accused-petitioners under Section 483 of BNSS in connection with FIR No. 225/2026 registered at Police Station Sadar Alwar, District Alwar for the offence(s) punishable under Sections 316(2), 318(4), 112(2), 61(2) of BNS and under Section 66D of IT Act.

2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioners submits that the accused-petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case; that the accused-petitioners are in custody since long; that the alleged offences are triable by Magistrate; conclusion of trial will take its own time, so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the accused-petitioners behind the bars and, therefore, prays that the accused-petitioners may be granted the benefit of bail under Section 483 of BNSS.

3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the submissions made by learned counsel for the accused-petitioners hereinabove but concurs with the fact that there are no criminal antecedents registered against the accused-petitioners.

4. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for both the parties; that the charge-sheet against the accused-petitioners has already been filed; that the accused-petitioners are in custody since 22.04.2026; that there are no criminal antecedents registered against the accused-petitioners; that trial will take its own time. Hence, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits/demerits of the case, deems it just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioners on bail.

5. Therefore, these instant bail applications under Section 483 BNSS are accordingly, **allowed** and the accused-petitioners namely **Jatin Saini S/o Shri Om Prakash Saini and Sanjay Meena S/o Shri Mangal Ram Meena** are ordered to be released on bail, provided each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs. 50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the Trial Court, with the stipulation that they

shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

6. It is made clear that the accused-petitioners shall not involve themselves in any offence(s) during currency of the bail.

7. In case of any breach of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Public Prosecutor shall be free to move the application against the accused-petitioners for cancellation of the bail before the concerned Court.

8. The observation made hereinabove is only for decision of the instant bail applications and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

9. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned trial Court through e-mail/fax, for necessary compliance.

(SANGEETA SHARMA),J

64-65/KAVISH