HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 6474/2026
URN: CRLMB / 11644U / 2026

Kanchan S/o Leelaram, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Sahdoongar, Police Station Gopalgarh, District Deeg (Rajasthan) (At Present Confined In District Jail, Deeg).

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 6641/2026
URN: CRLMB / 12002U / 2026

Aneesh Son Of Haroon, Aged About 25 Years, Resident Of Piruka, Police Station Gopalgarh, District Deeg (Rajasthan) (At Present Confined In District Jail, Deeg).

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Girish Khandelwal, Mr. Mohd Shakir Khan
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vivek Sharma Addl. G.A.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIPIN GUPTA

Judgment / Order

03/07/2026

1. The present bail applications have been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 against the order dated 20.04.2026 passed by the learned Sessions Judge Kama, District Deeg, in Criminal Misc. Bail Application No.564/2026 and 565/2026 respectively, whereby the bail applications of the petitioners were rejected in connection with F.I.R. No.54/2026, Police Station Gopalgarh, District Deeg for

the offences under Sections 318(2), 318(4), 338, 61(2)(A), 112 of the BNS, 2023, and Sections 66C and 66D of the Information Technology Act, 2000.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that there are no criminal antecedents against the petitioners and only three complaints have been registered against the present petitioners on the National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal, and the total amount involved is approximately Rs.25,000/-.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the case. Moreover, the challan in the case has already been filed and the conclusion of trial will take sufficiently long time and even the offences are triable by the Magistrate, therefore, he prays that the petitioners may be enlarged on bail as the accused are in custody since 04.04.2026.

4. Learned Additional Government Advocate vehemently opposes the bail application.

5. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Additional Government Advocate and perused the material available on record.

6. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and upon consideration of the submissions advanced, and particularly the fact that challan has been filed; all the offences are triable by Magistrate; the conclusion of investigation/trial is likely to take a considerable time; there are no criminal antecedents against the accused-petitioners of having committed any offence of similar nature or any other nature, this Court is of the opinion that the bail application deserves to be accepted.

7. Consequently, the bail application is **allowed**. It is ordered that the accused-petitioners namely **(i) Kanchan S/o Leelaram** and **(ii) Aneesh S/o Haroon** arrested in connection with F.I.R. No. 54/2026, Police Station Gopalgarh, District Deeg shall be released on bail; provided each of them furnish a personal bond of Rs.1,00,000/ (Rs. One Lakh Only) with two sureties of Rs. 50,000/- (Rs. Fifty Thousand Only) each, to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court, with the stipulation that they shall appear before the said Court on all dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so until completion of the trial, subject to the following conditions:

(i) The petitioners shall not leave country without the permission of the Court;

(ii) The petitioners shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which they are accused, or suspected, of the commission of which they are suspected;

(iii) The petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence; and

(iv) In the event the petitioners are found involved in any other cyber fraud case in the future, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application before the learned trial Court for cancellation of the bail granted herein.

(BIPIN GUPTA),J

14-15/KRATIKA