HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 6435/2026

Kanaram S/o Shri Daluram, Aged About 50 Years, R/o Tuli Ka Charanvas, Police Station Jeenmataji District Sikar (Rajasthan) Present Khetsiri Mahaveer Son Of Shri Danaram R/o Bhairupura, Police Station Sadar Sikar District Sikar (Rajasthan) (At Present Accused Petitioner Confined In District Jail Sikar)

----Accused/Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through PP

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Shamsul Aarefin
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Manvendra Singh Shekhawat, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI CHIRANIA (V.J.)

Judgment / Order

19/06/2026

1. This misc. bail application has been filed by the petitioner Kanaram S/o Shri Daluram, who was arrested by the Police in connection with the FIR bearing No.0015/2026 dated 11.01.2026 registered at Police Station Sadar Sikar, District Sikar for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4), 329(4), 336(2), 338, 336(3), 340(2) and 61(2) of the B.N.S., 2023.

2. Learned counsel submits that the bail application filed by the petitioner was rejected by the learned Sessions Judge, Sikar (Raj.) vide order dated 18.04.2026 thereafter, the petitioner has preferred this bail application under Section 483 of B.N.S.S, 2023.

3. Learned counsel submits that the main accused persons namely Jitender and Mahaveer Prasad purchased a property in the name of the petitioner herein of which he had no knowledge.

Learned counsel submits that in reference to the same, the petitioner lodged an FIR against them bearing No.0193/2026 dated 27.05.2026 at Police Station Sadar Sikar, District Sikar. Learned counsel further submits that as far as the present FIR is concerned, the police, after completing the investigation, has filed the charge-sheet on 05.06.2026, no recovery is required to be made from the petitioner and trial of the case will take sufficient long time. In view thereof, learned counsel prays that the petitioner may be enlarged on bail.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor strongly opposes the bail application. However, he could not dispute the fact that the main accused persons who were involved in this case, have already been granted protection.

5. This Court, after considering the fact that the charge-sheet has already been filed, the petitioner has no criminal antecedents in past, pendency of a civil dispute between the parties, trial will take sufficiently long time, nature of allegations, taking note of the overall facts and circumstances of the case and without commenting upon the merits/demerits of the case, is inclined to exercise its power under Section 483 B.N.S.S. and deems it just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioner on bail.

6. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 483 B.N.S.S. is allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioner **Kanaram S/o Shri Daluram** shall be released on bail, provided he executes a personal bond in a sum of ₹1,00,000/- with two sound and solvent sureties of ₹50,000/- each to the satisfaction of learned trial Court for his appearance before that Court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till

the completion of the trial. The petitioner is further directed not to take undue advantage of liberty or misuse liberty. It is made clear that the observation as made by this Court in the bail application will not affect the trial of the case.

(RAVI CHIRANIA (V.J.)),J

64/Manish