HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 5942/2026
URN: CRLMB / 10611U / 2026

Surendra S/o Jagdish, Aged About 19 Years, R/o Ajnoti, PS Soorval, Dist. Sawai Madhopur. (Presently Confined In Sawai Madhopur Jail).

----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Anirudh Tyagi
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Manvendra Singh Choudhary, PP

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SANGEETA SHARMA

Order

30/06/2026

1. The instant bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused-petitioner under Section 483 of BNSS in connection with FIR No. 67/2026 registered at Police Station Soorwaal, District Sawai Madhopur for the offence(s) punishable under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 316(2), 338, 336(3), 111(2)(b) & 340(2) of B.N.S., 2023 and Sections 66C and 66D of IT Act, in which charge-sheet has been submitted under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 316(2), 338, 336(3), 112(2), 61(2)(a) & 340(2) of B.N.S., 2023 and Sections 66C and 66D of IT Act.

2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioner submits that the accused-petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case; that the charge-sheet has been filed. He also submits that the accused-petitioner is in custody since long; conclusion of trial will take its own time, so no fruitful purpose would be served by

keeping the accused-petitioner behind the bars and, therefore, prays that the accused-petitioner may be granted the benefit of bail under Section 483 of BNSS.

3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the accused-petitioner hereinabove but concurs with the fact that there are no criminal antecedents registered against the accused-petitioner.

4. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case and the arguments put forth by the learned counsel for both the parties and the material available before this Court; that the accused-petitioner is in custody since 01.04.2026; that charge-sheet against the accused-petitioner has been filed; that there are no criminal antecedents registered against the accused-petitioner; that the alleged offences are triable by Magistrate; that the trial will take its own time. Hence, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits/demerits of the case, deems it just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioner on bail.

5. Therefore, the instant bail application under Section 483 BNSS is accordingly, **allowed** and the accused-petitioner **Surendra S/o Jagdish** is ordered to be released on bail, provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs. 50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the Trial Court, with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

6. It is made clear that the accused-petitioner shall not involve himself in any offence(s) during currency of the bail.

7. In case of any breach of the aforementioned condition, the learned Public Prosecutor shall be free to move the application against the accused-petitioner for cancellation of the bail before the concerned Court.

8. The observation made hereinabove is only for decision of the instant bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

9. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned trial Court through e-mail/fax, for necessary compliance.

(SANGEETA SHARMA),J

PUNEET KUMAR/36